1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	COUNTY OF ORANGE
3	
4	CONSERVATORSHIP OF THE ESTATE) OF ROBERT MERLE HARROD,) No. 30-2009-00291267-
5) PR-CE-LJC
6	Proposed Conservatee.)
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	DEPOSITION OF ANDREW HARROD, taken on behalf
16	of the Petitioner, at 25 East Union Street, Pasadena,
17	California, commencing at 9:49 a.m., on Monday,
18	August 29, 2011, pursuant to Notice, before SHANNAN
19	MINOR, CSR No. 12695, a Certified Shorthand Reporter, in
20	and for the County of Los Angeles, State of California.
21	***
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES:
2	For the Petitioner Fontelle Harrod:
3	DeWITT, ALGORRI, ALGORRI BY: ERNEST P. ALGORRI, ESQ.
4	25 East Union Street Pasadena, California 91103
5	(626) 568-4000 (626) 584-3980 (Fax)
6	ernie@daalaw.com
7	McGAUGHEY & SPIRITO BY: TERENCE C. McGAUGHEY, ESQ.
8	116 Avenue I
9	Redondo Beach, California 90277 (310) 465-1000
10	(310) 465-1030 (Fax) tmcgaughey@mcgs-law.com
11	For the Deponent Andrew Harrod:
12	LAW OFFICE OF JULIA A. KEMP BY: JULIA A. KEMP, ESQ.
13	800 South Beach Boulevard Suite A
14	La Habra, California 90631 (562) 691-5775
15	(562) 697-7700 (Fax) juliakemplaw@gmail.com.
16	
17	For the Petitioners Paula Borcher & Roberta Brady:
18	LAW OFFICES OF INDU SRIVASTAV BY: INDU SRIVASTAV, ESQ. 1440 North Harbor Boulevard
19	Suite 250 Fullerton, California 92835
20	(714) 447-9695 (714) 871-9714 (Fax)
21	indu@familylawservices.com
22	Also Present:
23	Fontelle Harrod
24	
25	

1	I N D E X		
2	WITNESS EXAMINATION	DAGE	
3	WITNESS EXAMINATION	PAGE	
4	Andrew Harrod By Mr. Algorri	5, 60	
5	By Mr. McGaughey	51, 66	
6	By Ms. Srivastav	59	
7			
8			
9	EXHIBITS		0
10	PETITIONER'S	PAGE	
11	A - Subpoena and notice with attached		
12	document request	6	
13	B - Objection to notice and production of documents	7	
14	C - Grant deed dated June 15,1999	8	
15	D - Document Titled "Short Form Deed of Trust and Assignment of Rents"	8	
16	E - Document titled "Short Form Deed of		
16	Trust and Assignment of Rents" dated 10/11/06	11	
18		11	
19	F - Document titled "Deed of Trust With Assignment of Rents" dated 6/17/99	26	
20	G - Borrower's closing statement dated 10/10/06	34	
21			
22	H - Deed of trust dated 10/02/06	34	
23	I - Letter from North Orange County Escrow dated October 11th of '06	34	
24	J - Receipt for \$610,000	34	
25			

	CONSERVATORSHII OF ROBERT WERLE HARROD
1	I N D E X (Continued)
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	QUESTIONS INSTRUCTED NOT TO ANSWER
7	PAGE LINE
8	44 13
9	63 6
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	INFORMATION REQUESTED
16	None.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	PASADENA, CALIFORNIA; MONDAY, AUGUST 29, 2011
2	9:49 A.M.
3	
4	ANDREW HARROD,
5	called as a witness by and on behalf
6	of the Petitioner, being first duly
7	sworn, was examined and testified
8	as follows:
9	
10	EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. ALGORRI:
12	Q. Sir, I take it you're Andrew Harrod?
13	A. I am.
14	Q. What is your date of birth?
15	A. Catalon 12th, 15th.
16	Q. What is your current residence address?
17	MS. KEMP: I'm objecting to that. There's no
18	relevance. You can communicate with him through my
19	office.
20	BY MR. ALGORRI:
21	Q. Okay. You live at 408 Windflower Lane in
22	Placentia?
23	A. No.
24	MS. KEMP: I'm objecting to that.
25	

24

25

BY MR. ALGORRI:

0.

BY MR. ALGORRI: 1 2 0. When did you leave that residence? 3 Α. July 30th or 31st of this year. 0. 4 Any particular reason you left that residence? Yes, it was transferred back to my aunts. 5 A. 6 MS. KEMP: Objection, misstates what happened, but I think there was a transfer to the trust; is that 7 8 correct? 9 THE WITNESS: That's correct. 10 MR. ALGORRI: Counsel, you're coaching the witness, and I appreciate that. If you could just state 11 your legal basis for your objection. 12 13 BY MR. ALGORRI: 14 0. Your aunts you're referring to are whom? Roberta Brady and Paula Borcher. 15 Α. Okay. What I'm going to do here today just by 16 0. means of housekeeping is today you're here pursuant to a 17 18 subpoena. There was also a notice with a document 19 request. I'll just mark it as A. We'll go through the contents of it later. 20 21 (Petitioner's Exhibit A was marked for identification by the court 22

reporter and is attached hereto.)

There's been an objection filed by your

attorney Julia Kemp. I will mark that as Exhibit B. 1 We'll come back to that later. 2 3 (Petitioner's Exhibit B was marked 4 for identification by the court 5 reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. ALGORRI: 6 7 Just by means of background information, I take it you're married? 8 9 Α. Yes. 10 0. You have children? 11 Α. Yes. 12 0. How many? 13 A. ALL STATES 14 Q. Your current employment, sir? 15 A. and is my employer. 16 Q. And how long have you been so employed? 17 Α. A little over five years. 18 0. All right. At some point in time did you acquire a house at 408 Windflower Lane in Placentia, 19 California? 20 21 Α. Yes. 22 Q. About what date was that, just roughly? 23 Α. It would have been around the middle of 1999. 24 0. Okay. I have here -- maybe I should have done 25 it this way. We'll mark this as Exhibit C.

(Petitioner's Exhibit C was marked 1 for identification by the court 2 3 reporter and is attached hereto.) BY MR. ALGORRI: 4 5 That grant deed there, it shows a 1999 date. That obviously would refresh your recollection as to 6 when you acquired that property? 7 It's dated June 15th, 1999. 8 A. 9 0. Okay. When you acquired that house, was it acquired using funds from the Harrod Family Trust? 10 11 Α. Yes. 12 0. What was the amount, the sum of money used from the Harrod Family Trust to acquire that property? 13 I don't recall specifically. 14 15 All right. Let me move on here to D. I have here -- let me just identify it, then I'll show it to 16 you. It says a short form deed of trust and assignment 17 18 of rents. 19 (Petitioner's Exhibit D was marked for identification by the court 20 21 reporter and is attached hereto.) 22 BY MR. ALGORRI: And does that document depict the sum of money 23 you recall being used to acquire the house? 24 25 Α. It does.

1 0. Okay. All those moneys that are --2 MS. KEMP: Excuse me. 3 MR. ALGORRI: Sure. BY MR. ALGORRI: 4 5 There's a sum of money I've highlighted there, 6 300-and-something thousand dollars? 7 A. 325,000. 8 All those moneys came from the Harrod Family Q. Trust? 9 10 I don't recall. I wouldn't believe entirely A. 11 no. 12 Do you have an estimate as to how much moneys Q. came from sources other than the Harrod Family Trust? 13 14 Α. No, I do not. 15 0. Can you tell us what your understanding was at the time you acquired the property, using moneys in part 16 or in whole from the Harrod Family Trust, what the terms 17 of repayment were to be to the Harrod Family Trust? 18 19 At that point in time I don't remember the 20 specifics. 21 Okay. Was there to be, as you recall, any monthly terms of payment? 22 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. What was the sum of money to be paid monthly? 25 I don't recall. Α.

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. All right. Do you recall the duration of the deed of trust? Was it, say, a 30-year note?
 - A. I -- I don't recall.
 - MS. KEMP: I'm going to object on vague and ambiguous as to the date of duration of the deed of trust.
- 7 BY MR. ALGORRI:
 - Q. Do you recall how much was actually paid for the house in 1999?
 - A. I belive the purchase price was 270,000.
 - Q. Can you tell us why it was that there was a deed of trust for an amount in excess of the purchase price of the house?
 - A. I can't recall.
 - Q. Can you tell us whether the amount of money in excess of the purchase price of the house borrowed from the Harrod Family Trust was used to start a business?
 - A. No, it was not.
 - Q. All right. Can you identify for us the financial institution that the moneys were deposited in that were in excess of the purchase price of the house?
 - A. No, I cannot.
 - Q. At that point in time in 1999, did you have a bank that you regularly dealt with?
 - A. I'm sure I did. I can't -- I can't recall the

- specific bank, though.

 Q. Okay. Moving on here, we'll mark this as next
 in order.
- MR. ALGORRI: I think we're up to E, is it,

 Ms. Reporter.

6 (Petitioner's Exhibit E was marked
7 for identification by the court
8 reporter and is attached hereto.)

9 BY MR. ALGORRI:

- Q. All right. This is -- I'll identify it and hand it to you. Short form deed of trust and assignment of rents. And let me show you that document there, sir.

 You've seen that document before today, I take it?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Okay. Now, on that there's a sum of money of what? 700 and --
- 18 A. 735,000.
- Q. What was the purpose of acquiring a sum of money in that amount?

MS. KEMP: Objection, it misstates the
evidence. If you want to ask another type of question.
I think that's assuming facts not in evidence.

- 24 BY MR. ALGORRI:
- Q. Okay. Well, do you dispute that there was a

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

- deed of trust for \$735,000 taken out on that house?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Okay. All right. What was the money used for?
 - MS. KEMP: Same question. There was -- you're assuming facts not in evidence. There's a deed of trust, but you haven't --
 - MR. ALGORRI: There's been no facts established. We just want to know what the facts are.
 - MS. KEMP: Well, there's no facts that there was money obtained in regards to the deed of trust.

 There is a deed of trust.
- 13 BY MR. ALGORRI:
 - Q. Okay. So you acquired no cash as a result of that deed of trust?
 - A. I did not say that, no.
 - Q. You did not say that?
- 18 A. No.
 - Q. Okay. So you take out a deed of trust for \$735,000 on your residence. What was your purpose in doing so?
 - A. My grandfather had offered to refinance the house and had cash that he wanted to invest.
 - Q. All right. So you already had a deed of trust for 200-odd-thousand dollars based upon that document

7

- 1 | we've marked as -- let me reach over here -- as
- 2 Exhibit D. What did you do with the additional whatever
- 3 | the difference is there?
- 4 A. There were loans between these to.
 - Q. Okay. Those loans were made to you?
- 6 A. They weren't involving my grandfather.
 - Q. Okay. They were loans made to third parties?
 - A. No.
- 9 Q. Okay. You're talking about loans, what were 10 these loans for?
- A. This loan was paid off. This loan was started. The two were not connected.
- Q. All right. So you used the loan marked as

 Exhibit D to pay off --
- 15 A. No.
- 16 Q. Exhibit E, I'm sorry.
- MS. KEMP: I'm objecting to the
- 18 mischaracterization. It's a deed of trust, not a loan.
- MR. ALGORRI: Okay.
- 20 BY MR. ALGORRI:
- Q. So you used the deed of trust marked as
- 22 Exhibit E to pay off D?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. You didn't. Okay. Now, just so I'm clear
- 25 here, you got a deed of trust for \$735,000; you

25

mentioned that it was to -- for the purpose of loans; am 1 2 I correct or incorrect? There were -- a portion of it, yes. 0. Okay. 4 Now these loans, I've never spoken to you about these loans before, I've never met you, what 5 were these loans for? 6 7 Α. The loan that was -- that was provided --MS. KEMP: Objection, what's the relevance of 9 the question? BY MR. ALGORRI: 10 11 You can answer the question. 0. 12 MS. KEMP: No. I'm gonna stop here and ask what the relevance is as to what he did with the money? 13 14 MR. ALGORRI: He's acquired significant sums 15 of money from the Robert Harrod Trust. We're entitled to know what happened to the money and where it went. 16 17 MS. KEMP: That's not the question you asked. 18 You asked what they were for. 19 MR. ALGORRI: Excuse me, Miss, you're asking 20 for an offer of proof, and I'm being kind and giving you one. Unless there's an instruction not to answer --21 22 MS. KEMP: Unless you tell me the significance -- and if you'd like to read back the 23

question, the question was what they were for.

words, if you're asking if he went to Europe or if there

was medical needs of his family, I don't see the 1 relevance of that. If you want to ask a different 2 3 question and say, where are those funds now, or --4 that's a different question. 5 MR. ALGORRI: Well, we're entitled to know what happened to the money. All we want to know is what 6 7 happened to this money. Okay? 8 MS. KEMP: How are you -- why are entitled to 9 know what happened to the money? 10 MR. ALGORRI: Because there's been estate money used to do something with that we don't know. 11 What's so complicated about that? So unless that's an 12 instruction not to answer, Counsel --13 14 MS. KEMP: I think you need to rephrase your 15 question. 16 MR. ALGORRI: -- we can take it up with the 17 court. 18 No, I'm not. I want to know what happened to 19 the money that he acquired as a result of this trust 20 deed that we've marked as Exhibit E. Simple as that. 21 THE WITNESS: Do you want me to answer? 22 MS. KEMP: Yes. THE WITNESS: I only received a portion of the 23 24 money, and the money went to go pay -- to pay off a loan 25 that I had on the house.

- 1 BY MR. ALGORRI:
- Q. Did you make any payments towards this trust deed we've marked as Exhibit E?
 - A. Yes.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Now, can you tell me your recollection of what the terms of the repayment were?
 - A. Yes. It was a 40-year loan, five percent, and the payment was approximately -- I don't recall the exact amount, but it was approximately 3,800 a month, I think.
 - Q. All right. These payments, you made them regularly, I take it?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Were they due at any particular day of the month?
- 16 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Up until the time of Bob's disappearance, were you ever late or delinquent in paying this 3,800 dollar a month payment?
- 20 A. Never.
- Q. All right. Okay. Now, up until July of '09 you made this payment of \$3,800 monthly; is that correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And this payment would go to whom?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- A. Rob Harrod.
 - Q. All right. Would you mail it directly to him or would it go to a financial institution?
 - A. Would go directly to him.
 - Q. All right. So according to our records -- and correct me if I'm wrong -- up until July --

Through July of '09 you had made payments of \$3,800 monthly towards that deed of trust we've marked as Exhibit E; correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, in August of '09 you stopped making payments on that deed of trust; correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Why was it?
 - A. Because my grandfather was missing.
- Q. At that point in time did you have any conversation with your aunts about to whom payment should be made in light of your grandfather being missing?
 - A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Did it ever occur to you back at the time that you stopped making these payments in August of '09 that your grandfather could resurface?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What was your intention, if you had one at

- 1 | all --
- MS. SRIVASTAV: Objection, speculation. You
- 3 | can answer.
- 4 MR. ALGORRI: Well the question is not even
- 5 | done, but I'll note your objection.
- 6 MS. SRIVASTAV: I'm just putting it on the
- 7 record. I'm not telling him not to answer.
- 8 MR. ALGORRI: Okay. Nor can you.
- 9 BY MR. ALGORRI:
- 10 Q. What was your intention, if he were to have
- 11 | resurfaced, in terms of dealing with the arrearages owed
- 12 on that deed of trust?
- MS. KEMP: Objection, relevance. What is --
- 14 what is the relevance of the question you're asking,
- 15 | sir?
- 16 BY MR. ALGORRI:
- Q. Did you have an intent to start repaying him
- 18 | again?
- MS. KEMP: What's the relevance of that
- 20 | question?
- MR. ALGORRI: It's going to wasting the assets
- 22 of the trust. I would think Indu would want to know
- 23 that as much as I would.
- MS. KEMP: The specifics are what happened to
- 25 | the money and how much money was paid back. His

intentions would not be relevant.

MR. ALGORRI: Counsel, if you want to argue the case, we've got a trial date set on that. I'm here to ask questions. Unless there's a valid legal objection and instruction not to answer, let's just go forward.

MS. KEMP: I have a lot of issues with the fact that he's not a party to this. He's been a victim of a lot of abuse on the Internet, and he has emotional ties to a grandfather who disappeared. And you're here --

He's not a party to any of these items that you're discussing or any trust matters or conservator matters. I think you have a purpose, I'm assuming, in bringing him here today, and if your purpose is to discuss what happened to assets in the trust, what his intentions were, what his feelings are today, those are not relevant.

MR. ALGORRI: Okay. Let's do this -- let me just state one thing once and for all: As I see it, he's ripped off the trust for about \$700,000. We're entitled to get to the bottom of it. That affects both Indu's clients as well as my client.

We want to know what happened to the money, why he quit paying, and what was his intentions in light

25

1 of his father's [sic] disappearance, if he ever intended 2 to resume paying on the note. Okay. That's my offer of 3 proof to you, and I'm being generous. I don't have to offer that. 4 5 MS. KEMP: Well, I am instructing him not to 6 answer the type of question that you're asking him about 7 what his intentions were, what his feelings were. 8 If you want to ask questions regarding assets 9 of -- what you consider are trust assets, then ask him 10 that, but don't try to delve into what his personal 11 feelings were or what his personal intentions were at one time or another because that's irrelevant. 12 13 MR. ALGORRI: Okay. And your grounds for 14 objection is one of relevance; is that correct? 15 MS. KEMP: It's also invasion of privacy and 16 it's harassment. 17 MR. ALGORRI: Okav. 18 MS. KEMP: There's nothing that you're describing that would be of benefit to you to establish 19 20 a trust asset. 21 BY MR. ALGORRI: 22 0. Okay. So on advice of your counsel you're not 23 going to answer the question; is that correct, sir?

THE WITNESS: Is that correct?

MS. KEMP: That is correct.

1	MR. ALGORRI: Okay. So let me give notice
2	that on September 1st, 2011, at 1:30 in Department L73
3	I'm going to move for an order shortening time to ask
4	the court to compel this man to answer the questions.
5	Okay?
6	MS. KEMP: Answer that specific question?
7	MR. ALGORRI: That's right.
8	MS. KEMP: I have no problem with that.
9	MR. ALGORRI: And at that time I'll be asking
10	for substantial sanctions on the grounds that you are
11	phrasing improper questions and frustrating my
12	legitimate right to discovery. Okay.
13	MS. KEMP: Do you want to proceed or you want
14	to stop now?
15	MR. ALGORRI: Oh, no, we're going to go
16	forward.
17	MS. SRIVASTAV: Can you read back the question
18	that you asked.
19	MR. ALGORRI: Ms. Reporter?
20	(The previous questions were read
21	back by the court reporter as
22	follows:
23	"QUESTION: What was your
24	intention, if he were to have
25	resurfaced, in terms of dealing with

1 the arrearages owed on that deed of 2 trust? 3 "MS. KEMP: Objection, relevance. What is -- what is the relevance of 4 5 the question you're asking, sir? "QUESTION: Did you have an 6 7 intent to start repaying him again?") MS. SRIVASTAV: Okay. Thank you. 8 9 MR. ALGORRI: All right. 10 BY MR. ALGORRI: 11 Did you set aside any funds to resume payments 12 in the event your grandfather would have resurfaced? 13 Α. Yes. 14 Q. And what financial institution did you place those funds? 15 16 Α. I believe I was banking with Bank of America at the time. 17 Are you still doing so? 18 Q. 19 Α. No. How long did you contribute to this account 20 we're talking about? 21 22 A. Approximately six months. 23 0. Can you give me a ballpark estimate as to how much money had ultimately accumulated in that account 24 until the time you stopped making contributions? 25

- A. It wasn't a specific account so it would have been part of my general accounts.
- Q. Okay. The call of the question was I was looking for an approximate sum of money. Can you give me an estimate as to how much money?
 - A. I can't give an estimate.
- Q. Now, I just hauled off and started asking questions because we got kind of a late start here. Have you ever had your deposition taken before?
 - A. No.
- Q. This is obviously a question and answer period under oath where I'm here to ask you a series of questions in connection with what you know about this whole issue involving the deeds of trust regarding the property you acquired through the funds of the Harrod trust. Okay.

This will probably go about an hour to 90 minutes. If you have any question along the way and you want to take a break with your attorney, feel free to do so.

This is the same oath that you would be given in a court of law, brings with it the same obligation to tell the truth, same obligation as you would be in front of a judge and jury.

Do you understand that?

- 1 A. I do.
 - Q. All right. Only one of us can talk at a time, as Ms. Reporter pointed out. I tend to be the worst violator of that rule. I'll try like heck to not do that, but I ask that you wait for me to finish my question before you attempt an answer.

Obviously, it will give your attorney time to make an objection if she feels warranted. You've probably seen a little of that already. Also it makes it to where the record reads in a clean fashion. Okay.

You'll by given an opportunity at the conclusion of this event to read over this transcript to make any changes or corrections that you deem necessary; however, if you make any changes or corrections and they are of a material nature, that can be commented upon at time of trial or hearing or whatever to this action and that could adversely affect your credibility.

Do you understand that?

- A. I do.
- Q. All right. Because I will assume, unless you ask me to rephrase the question or somebody speaks up, that if you answer the question, that I will deem you to have fully understood it.

Fair enough?

A. (Simultaneous speaking).

Q.

requests?

- Q. All right. We just spoke over each other.
 A. Sorry. Okay.
 - I asked today that you and your attorney bring a series of documents. The objections that are contained on Exhibit B are duly noted. Are there any documents here that are responsive to any of these

All right. Back on track here. Okay.

MR. ALGORRI: Counsel, however you want to do it. You want to go through it one at a time, if you've got a lump sum, whatever it is you have, let's see what you got, and we can just start on that.

MS. KEMP: Actually, some of them you've already identified. There was -- we did go through certain deeds of trust that were in his former residence, and you have identified them.

I have a deed of trust from 1999, which I think you have identified already.

MR. ALGORRI: I think that is, just to keep the record straight, that would be probably Exhibit A.

MR. McGAUGHEY: No, Ernie, we don't have the deed of trust from -- pardon me for interrupting.

We do not have the deed of trust for '99. We have the one from '03 and I believe '07.

MR. ALGORRI: That's right.

MS. KEMP: All right. Here's one from '99. 1 2 MR. ALGORRI: Okay. So let me mark this just 3 by means of identification deed of trust with assignment of rents dated 6/17/99. I don't want to write on it. 4 This is your only copy or are these extras? 5 6 MS. KEMP: No, you can make copies from that 7 one if you want to put a sticker on it. 8 MR. ALGORRI: Okay. 9 MS. KEMP: And I would request when we go back 10 on the record that we -- that people attending the 11 deposition be identified for the record. 12 I don't know who's here. 13 MR. ALGORRI: Okay. Well, present is obviously Ms. Harrod and Terry McGaughey, Indu, you, and 14 15 Mr. Harrod. MS. KEMP: And Terry McGaughey is? 16 17 MR. McGAUGHEY: I'm co-counsel with 18 Mr. Algorri in this matter. 19 MS. KEMP: Okay. Thank you, sir. 20 MR. ALGORRI: We'll mark this as Objector's F. 21 Okay. Let me take a peak at this. This is the deed of trust of assignment of rents marked as Exhibit F. 22 23 (Petitioner's Exhibit F was marked for identification by the court 24 25 reporter and is attached hereto.)

MR. ALGORRI: All right. What else do you 1 2 have there counsel? 3 MS. KEMP: I have the deed of trust from 2006. Is that one of the ones you've identified? I think you 4 did. 5 6 MR. ALGORRI: Yes. 7 MS. KEMP: The document was recorded on October 11, 2006. 8 MR. ALGORRI: Yeah, I have that. That's E. 9 10 MS. KEMP: I have --11 MR. ALGORRI: Let's get a pile going here. 12 Excuse me. 13 MS. KEMP: Sure. BY MR. ALGORRI: 14 15 Q. While your attorney is doing that, let me ask some preliminary questions here. Prior to coming here 16 today, Mr. Harrod, did you review any documents? 17 18 Α. Yes. 19 0. Okay. Those documents that you reviewed are what? Are they the ones that your counsel is looking at 20 21 here today? 22 A. Yes, they are. 23 Q. Aside from the ones that your attorney is 24 picking through as we speak, have you reviewed any other 25 documents, notes, whatever?

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

- A. I reviewed all my electronic and hard copy records.
 - Q. When you say "electronic records," what are you talking about? E-mails?
 - A. E-mail correspondence.
 - Q. To whom were those e-mails received from -- or I misspoke.

To whom were they sent?

- A. They were sent to my grandfather, Robert Harrod.
 - Q. And did you have a chance to review any of the responses, if any, from your grandfather to you?
 - A. The e-mails weren't from me.
 - Q. Okay. Who were they from?
- A. Actually, let me correct. One e-mail was addressed from Frank Chicatelli to my grandfather. The other e-mail was from Frank Chicatelli to Bill Rabe.
 - Q. Bill Rabe was the accountant?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Was your grandfather known to be an e-mail kind of person?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. To your knowledge, he didn't have his own computer and his --
- A. No, he didn't.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Q. Okay. Remember we have to talk one at a time.
 - A. I apologize.
 - Q. No worries.
- MS. KEMP: Okay. Did you want me to continue to produce the --
 - MR. ALGORRI: Yeah, there is E. What else do you have there?
 - MS. KEMP: I have a note from 2006.
 - MR. ALGORRI: We'll mark this as G. Okay. We already spoke about that.
 - Let me just hop around before -- I'm going to interrupt you, if you don't mind, Counsel.
 - MS. KEMP: Sure.
- MR. ALGORRI: Take this one at a time here.
- 15 BY MR. ALGORRI:
 - Q. This deed of trust with assignment of rents we've marked as Exhibit F, I notice that it references the sum of \$250,000. That was the purchase price -- the initial purchase price of the house there that we talked about, the one you initially acquired?
 - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. Okay. Yeah, actually at the top I see 124 North May Avenue, Monrovia?
 - A. That's my grandfather's former address.
- MS. KEMP: You have to wait for a question.

1 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. BY MR. ALGORRI: 2 3 0. Oh, I see. That was obviously -- this May 4 Avenue address, this is where Mr. Harrod lived before he moved down to Placentia; is that correct? 5 6 Α. Yes. 7 0. I spaced on you. I'm sorry. The \$250,000 was used for -- I'm sorry, did you say for the purchase of 8 the house on Windflower? 9 10 Α. (Inaudible response.) 11 MS. KEMP: You have to answer audibly. 12 THE WITNESS: Yes. 13 MR. ALGORRI: Okay. All right. Sorry, 14 Ms. Kemp, I interrupted you. What else you got there? MS. KEMP: We have a borrower's closing 15 16 statement dated October 10, 2006. 17 Apparently some of these, because they're whole punched, they appear to be documents I may not 18 have made copies for. 19 20 MR. ALGORRI: Okay. We'll have copies made of 21 these before we leave. 22 MS. KEMP: And I have another letter from the escrow company dated October 11, 2006. 23 24 MR. ALGORRI: All right. And that, again, I'm 25 sorry, is H.

3

4

5

6

9

10

17

18

19

- 1 BY MR. ALGORRI:
 - Q. These are documents -- the ones that we're going through today, you've seen all these prior to today's deposition, I take it?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. These came from your own personal files?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. I gotcha.
 - MR. ALGORRI: Okay. What else do you have there?
- MS. KEMP: I have some additional documents
 which may not be responsive to your request regarding
 transfer deeds for the Placentia property, quitclaim
 deeds back and forth to the trust and to the -- to
 Mr. Andrew Harrod's trust. And a grant deed I think you
 have that from 1999.
 - Additionally I do have a copy of a recent check -- no, excuse me, this is -- I apologize. I thought this was a different check.
 - Is that what you have?
- 21 THE WITNESS: Yeah, that's fine.
- MS. KEMP: Okay. This is a 10,000 dollar check dated --
- 24 THE WITNESS: No.
- 25 MS. KEMP: Right? 10/5/2006 -- oh, 610,000

- 1 dollar check. I'm sorry. I missed that one. That's a 2 big miss.
- MR. ALGORRI: All right. No worries. I've done worse. So we'll call this I.
- 5 BY MR. ALGORRI:

7

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- Q. This document that's just been handed us marked as Exhibit I for \$610,000, what was that for?
- A. That was -- that was to pay off a loan on the house.
- MS. SRIVASTAV: Can I look at that?
- 11 BY MR. ALGORRI:
- 12 Q. Now, I see there's been a few loans on that -
 13 MS. KEMP: Excuse me, he wants to go off the

 14 record.
 - MR. ALGORRI: Go ahead and talk.

 (A conference was held between the witness and his counsel.)
 - MR. ALGORRI: Back on the record and clarify something here. There's two Gs. All right. Just if I could, please, Ms. Reporter, Exhibit A is the notice of deposition, B is the objections filed by Ms. Kemp, C is the grant deed dated 6/17/99, D is the deed of trust dated 8/29/03.
- 24 BY MR. ALGORRI:
- Q. Just as an aside while I'm on that, I notice,

Mr. Harrod, that the grant deed is dated June 17th of 1 2 '99 yet there's a filing date of the deed of trust of two months later on 8/29/03. 3 4 As far as you know, is there any explanation 5 as to why there's that two-month delay? Α. I honestly don't know. 6 7 MS. KEMP: Can I ask you again when was the 8 grant deed recorded? 9 THE WITNESS: 8/23. MS. KEMP: And when was the deed of trust 10 11 recorded? MR. ALGORRI: 6/17 -- I'm sorry, just so I'm 12 clear, the deed of trust is dated 8/29/03, and the grant 13 deed is dated 6/17. 14 15 MS. KEMP: It's not dated --16 MR. McGAUGHEY: There's another deed of trust. 17 MR. ALGORRI: Hang on a second here. I'm getting all messed up here. 18 19 Oh, yeah, you're right. Okay. I'm totally 20 mistaken, yeah. Let me take that back. Yeah, the deed 21 of trust that would apply to the grant deed of 6/17/99 22 in fact was filed on 6/17/99. It's just marked as 23 Exhibit F. Okay. So back to where I was. So we've got the deed of trust dated 8/29/03 marked as D. 24

We have a deed of trust dated 10/11/06 marked

```
as E, we have a deed of trust dated 6/17/99 as F.
 1
 2
               And then there's a borrower's closing
     statement dated 10/10 of '06 marked as G.
 3
 4
               (Petitioner's Exhibit G was marked
               for identification by the court
 5
 6
               reporter and is attached hereto.)
 7
               MR. ALGORRI: And then what I have here is a
     deed of trust dated 10/2/06 marked as G as well.
 8
     what I will do is strike that out and mark that as H,
 9
10
     which means the following exhibit, which is an escrow
11
     company letter from North Orange County Escrow dated
12
     October 11th of '06, that was H. That will now be I.
13
               (Petitioner's Exhibits H and I were
               marked for identification by the
14
15
               court reporter and are attached
16
               hereto.)
               MR. ALGORRI: And the check in the sum of
17
     $610,000 dated 10/5 of '06, I will be stricken out, and
18
19
     that will be J.
20
               (Petitioner's Exhibit J was marked
21
               for identification by the court
22
               reporter and is attached hereto.)
23
               MR. McGAUGHEY: Just to clarify the record,
     that's not a check. I think it's a receipt.
24
25
               MS. KEMP: And I would concur. I referred to
```

9

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

- it as a check. 1
- 2 MR. ALGORRI: Thank you. That is a receipt.
- 3 BY MR. ALGORRI:
- 4 Okay. Have we covered now all the loans and deeds of trust that were taken out on the property that 5 6 you had there on -- what was that, Wildflower?
- 7 What's that street name?
 - Α. Windflower.
- Windflower. Have we covered them all or was there other ones? 10
 - There were other ones, but not with my grandfather.
 - Q. Okay. That's what I'm really going for here.
- A. 14 Yes.
- 15 0. The ones aside from your grandfather, they 16 were with Countrywide?
 - Α. They were with a few banks.
 - Q. Approximately how many?
 - Two or three. Α.
 - 0. We'll come back to that in a bit. At some point in time you were made aware of your grandfather's disappearance?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Okay. And at some point in time were you made 0. 25 aware that your aunts were designated as trustees of the

1 trust of Robert Harrod? 2 Yes, I was. Α. 3 0. Did they at any point in time contact you to inquire about the status of your payments to the trust? 4 5 Α. Yes. 6 MS. KEMP: Are you referring to as in their capacity as trustee? 7 8 MR. ALGORRI: That's correct. 9 MS. KEMP: Or those particular parties? 10 MR. ALGORRI: In their capacities as trustees. 11 THE WITNESS: Yes. BY MR. ALGORRI: 12 13 Approximately when was that? 0. A. A little over a year ago. 14 15 0. Was that the first time -- and strike that. 16 What do you recall in substance -- let me 17 strike that and back up a bit. 18 Did they call you on the phone or did they 19 approach you in person on that issue? I don't -- I don't recall the specifics. 20 Α. 21 0. Was it Paula or Roberta or both of them? 22 Α. I believe it was a letter from Indu's office 23 was the communication I received. 24 Q. And that was the first communication you

received about the payments that were to be made on the

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 | deed of trust; is that correct?
 - A. I don't recall. There may have been communications prior to that. I don't remember the sequence back then of what came first.
 - Q. After the time of your grandfather's disappearance and before you received that letter from Indu, would you ever have any other contact with Roberta and Paula whether it be informal or in their capacities as trustees?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Was mention ever made about you not making payments toward this deed of trust?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Would one aunt or the other typically have this conversation with you?
 - A. It was most -- it was most -- most of the conversations were with Paula.
 - Q. Do you recall about how many conversations you had with Paula in that regard?
 - A. Ten.
 - Q. Did she ever just come out and in substance ask you why weren't you paying the trust deed?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Your response would be?
 - A. Again, not recalling the specifics, the gist

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

22

23

24

25

- 1 | was that it wasn't my grandfather's intent.
- Q. Now, at any point in time whether it be
 through Indu's office or the daughter's acting on their
 own -- excuse me, your aunts acting on their own, was
 there ever an action brought against you to foreclose on
 - A. It was --

the deed of trust?

- MS. KEMP: I'm going to -- it's vague and ambiguous. Are you referring specifically to litigation?
- MR. ALGORRI: That's correct.
- THE WITNESS: The threat was there, but I don't believe the action was ever brought.
- 14 BY MR. ALGORRI:
 - Q. Did they ever advise you in writing of their intent to bring legal action against you for any nonpayment towards this deed of trust?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did you keep those letters?
- A. I did not receive the letter. It went directly to my attorney.
 - Q. Okay. Now, at some point in time you vacated the premises there on Windflower; correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And when did you vacate the premises?

1 | A. It was --

MS. KEMP: Asked and answered.

You can answer.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, it was end of July, last few days of July.

BY MR. ALGORRI:

Q. As -- and let me strike that and back up a bit here.

Did you work out any sort of arrangement with the trustees and/or with Indu's office that turning back the house to the estate of Mr. Harrod would be full satisfaction of the amounts that were owed on the trust deed?

MS. KEMP: I'm going to interpose an objection. There is a settlement in place. The settlement has confidentiality clauses. That could be reviewed, but we don't want to refer to that in the deposition. I think that would be made available to you.

My understanding would be that it's going to be made available to the court for instruction, and I would -- all the questions regarding the settlement I would like to have not at this time and not asking my client because he is guessing as to what the terms would be from his recollection.

MR. ALGORRI: Okay. So there's an instruction not to answer on grounds of a confidentiality agreement involving reconveyance of a trust asset; am I correct on that?

MS. KEMP: I'm informing you that there is a settlement document, and the document speaks for itself. If you're going to ask him questions regarding what that document says, you're asking for speculation. And there is a confidentiality clause which indicates that it would be made available to the court and to interested parties.

I don't want -- at this point I have some serious issues that we would have to discuss, and I would be happy to discuss them at this point. Again, because there have been -- apparently, not since I've been involved particularly -- I guess that's not really true. There have been occasions that I've been made aware of that private facts have been made public over the Internet and in other inappropriate means.

I understand that your office -- and you can correct me if I'm wrong -- was involved with settling a -- in a settlement -- reaching a settlement agreement with the conservatorship issues and payments to your client and that that settlement agreement was then posted on the Internet.

- MR. ALGORRI: It's a public record. I'm not an Internet lawyer. I'm here to try to see what happened to trust assets.
 - MS. KEMP: Well, we're saying the settlement agreement is not a public record. And I -- at this point we don't -- we want to take precautions that it not become a public record.
 - MR. ALGORRI: Get up to speed. It's filed with the court.
- MS. KEMP: I don't think it has been.

 11 BY MR. ALGORRI:
 - Q. As you sit here today, are you unaware of any terms of the settlement with regard to the reconveyance of the property back to the Harrod trust?
 - A. I am aware of the terms.
 - Q. Okay. And I take it on advice of counsel, you're not here to discuss any of those terms of the settlement because of advice of your counsel; is that correct?
 - MS. KEMP: As I've indicated, the document speaks for itself. And if you want him to -- he doesn't have -- it's not fair for you to ask him legal opinions and matters of legal import. The settlement agreement will be made available to you.
 - MR. ALGORRI: Okay. But we're here for

- deposition. We're entitled to hear what he knows about
 the terms of the settlement. That goes to what the
 assets of the trust are. That's why this whole
 proceeding is here.
 - So you're not going to allow him to answer any questions regarding what the terms of the settlement were with the reconveyance of the property back to the trust; am I correct?
 - MS. KEMP: I don't think that he's qualified, and it's not appropriate in a deposition to ask for his opinion on legal matters.
 - MR. ALGORRI: Okay. We're not here to ask for legal opinion. Let me ask it this way.
 - BY MR. ALGORRI:
 - Q. Did you pay a sum of money in addition to re-conveying the property in settlement of the reconveyance of the house back to the Harrod Family Trust?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. What was that sum of money?

MS. KEMP: I would request, again, that because it's a confidential settlement document, that we treat it with confidentiality. And that if you are representing to me at this time that everything in this deposition will remain confidential, then we'd be happy

1 to discuss these things.

MR. ALGORRI: This is the United States of America. This is a public proceeding. This isn't a communist country. You know, unless there's some kind of protective order brought on your part, I'm entitled to an answer. And if you're going to instruct him not to answer, then we'll take it up at my ex parte to shorten time on September 1 in Department L73 where we'll move to compel further answers to this and just go around and around.

I'm not here to try and browbeat you. My job is to find out what happened to the money. What happened to the houses and what were the terms. There's no magic here. Maybe you can enlighten me further. You know, I'm willing to discuss this on the record, if you like; otherwise, I'm entitled to an answer.

MS. KEMP: Well, I'm entitled to an answer from you. Is it your intention to --

MR. ALGORRI: I'm taking a deposition.

MS. KEMP: -- make all this public?

MR. ALGORRI: No, no. I'm not being deposed.

He's the deponent with percipient knowledge of a lot of facts that we all need answers to including

Ms. Srivastav who's here.

MS. KEMP: Well, even in America --

MR. ALGORRI: Is there an instruction or not, 1 Counsel? 2 3 MS. KEMP: Even in America there's a right of privacy and confidentiality. And the settlement 4 agreement will be made available to you, but you have an 5 obligation to maintain the confidentiality of that 6 document as well. 7 8 MR. ALGORRI: I'm not a signatory. This is all news to me. So if you want to bring a protective 9 order, fine. If you want to oppose the motion, fine. 10 11 So let me move on here, and let me ask the question: BY MR. ALGORRI: 12 13 0. How much did you pay in addition to re-conveying the house in settlement of the dispute 14 15 involving reconveyance of the house back to the Harrod Family Trust? 16 17 MS. KEMP: I'm instructing him not to answer that. 18 MR. ALGORRI: Okay. And the legal ground is 19 20 privacy because of this secret settlement agreement; is that correct? 21 22 MS. KEMP: Privacy in general, and we're 23 making the settlement document available to you. I will -- and I will tell you that payment was made in 24 25 accord with the settlement agreement which is available

- 1 to you.
- 2 BY MR. ALGORRI:
- Q. Okay. So you quitclaimed the house back to the Harrod Family Trust, I take it?
- MS. KEMP: Objection, it was never -- when you're saying back to them, it was never owned by the Harrod Family Trust. So if you want to rephrase that question.
- 9 BY MR. ALGORRI:
- Q. Okay. Then who was the house given back to?

 MS. KEMP: Well, my objection is your question
 back to. If you want to say who was it quitclaimed to,
 that's a different question.
- 14 BY MR. ALGORRI:

- Q. Okay. Then who was it quitclaimed to?
- A. The Harrod Family Trust.
- Q. Okay. And I keep using the term "back to." I mean, did anybody other than the Harrod Family Trust at the time you re-conveyed it back own the property? Did anybody else have an ownership interest in it?
- MS. KEMP: Vague and ambiguous.
- 22 BY MR. ALGORRI:
- Q. For instance, was there a second trust deed on the property --
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. -- who had an interest in it?
- 2 A. No.
 - Q. All right. Aside from the moneys that were used in the acquisition of the residence there in Placentia, were there any other personal loans given by Mr. Harrod to you during the period of time he was alive?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How many?
 - A. I don't recall. From time to time there would be personal loans, but I don't recall how many.
 - Q. Okay. Now, when you say "personal loans," they were written on, as far as you can recall, on a checking account strictly in his name Robert Harrod, as far as you recall?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - Q. Okay. Do you have any recollection as to whether any of those personal loans were written on a checking account in the Robert Harrod Trust?
 - A. I don't recall the source of the funds.
 - Q. Can you give me an estimate as to the total sum, all the loans combined, approximately how much money was loaned to you by Mr. Harrod and or his trust?
 - A. The total of all loans?
 - Q. That's correct.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. No, I can't give you an estimate.
- Q. If I were to ask you whether it was under or over a hundred thousand dollars, could you answer it that way?
 - A. In total, yes. Yes, I would say it's over a hundred thousand dollars.
 - Q. Okay. Was it over \$200,000?
 - A. I wouldn't think so.
 - Q. All right. Now, at some point in time you declared bankruptcy?
 - A. I did.
 - Q. Did you list as one of the creditors on your bankruptcy petition the Robert Harrod Trust.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you recall the amount of moneys owed to the trust that you listed on the bankruptcy petition?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - Q. Once again, was it over or under a hundred thousand dollars?
 - A. Again, there wasn't a -- an open loan for over a hundred thousand dollars. There were individual loans. At that point in time I would have thought the individual loans would have been under a hundred thousand dollars.
 - Q. Did you also list as part of that bankruptcy

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

- petition any of the deeds of trust held on the property
 by the Robert Harrod Trust?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - Q. And was that a Chapter 7 or Chapter 11 or any recollection in that regard?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - Q. Who was your attorney for that bankruptcy?
 - A. I don't recall.
 - Q. And approximately what year was that filed?
- 10 A. 2000, approximately.
- Q. Has there been only one bankruptcy petition filed in connection with any moneys owed to either Mr. Harrod or the Harrod trust?
- 14 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Approximately how many?
- A. No. You said was there only one, I thought.
- 17 Q. Sorry.
- 18 A. Okay.
- 19 Q. I meant to ask have there been more than one 20 and the answer obviously would be no.
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any knowledge or understanding as to who resides in your former residence in Placentia as we sit here today?
 - A. I do not know.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. All right. Now, the aunts when they
 approached you in their capacities as trustees, aside
 from working out a resolution of the house issue, did
 they also demand from you any sort of written financial
 accounting as to the status of any loans made by
 Mr. Harrod to you?

 A. I don't recall if that was a demand along the
 - A. I don't recall if that was a demand along the way.
 - Q. Do you recall when it was the last time you saw Mr. Harrod?
 - A. Approximately a week before his disappearance.
 - Q. Give me a moment here.
 - Now, back in October of 2010, do you recall making a phone call to me?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you remember our conversation?
- 17 A. Yes.
 - Q. Now, do you recall telling me that you wanted to apologize for being too quick to judge the situation against Fontelle?
 - A. It might have been a bit out of context, but I remember apologizing.
 - Q. All right. Do you recall in particular telling me that you felt pressured into choosing the side of the trustees over Fontelle in this litigation?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1 A. I remember feeling in the middle.
 - Q. You recall asking me for a referral to an attorney?
 - A. I do.
 - Q. In the course of our conversation, did I say or intimate to you in any way how you should testify in this proceeding?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Do you recall telling me in the course of that conversation that your aunts, as trustees, were doing some things that did not sit well with you?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What did you mean by that?
 - A. I meant that I didn't believe that they were following through with the intentions my grandfather had.
 - Q. What is your understanding of the intentions your grandfather had?
 - A. That my note was to be forgiven upon his death or --
 - Q. At that time do you recall telling me that they were threatening to take the house from you?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And do you recall telling me that you were angry that your aunts had quit their jobs once they had

- 1 been appointed trustees of the estate?
- A. I don't recall that, no. I -- no, I don't recall.
 - Q. Give me one second here, please.

MR. ALGORRI: Terry, do you have any questions.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

4

EXAMINATION

BY MR. McGAUGHEY:

Q. Just a few questions going back on the background of the residence on Windflower.

When you originally purchased the property in June of 1999, the purchase price at that time was approximately how much?

- A. I believe 273,000, somewhere in that neighborhood.
- Q. And the deed of trust that you executed at that time in favor of the Harrod Family Trust was the amount of \$250,000. Was the balance of the purchase price paid for by you?
- A. I believe there was a portion paid by me. I believe there was also a second on the property at that point in time.
 - Q. And who was the second to?
 - A. Harrod Family Trust.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. And do you remember the amount of the second?
 - A. I don't remember exactly, no.
 - Q. Then in August of 2003 you executed another deed of trust in favor of the Harrod Family Trust. This is the amount of 325,000; correct?
 - A. Sounds right.
 - Q. I can show you Exhibit D, if you'd like to refresh your recollection.
 - A. That looks correct.
 - Q. So that basically increased the amount of your obligation to the Harrod Family Trust by \$75,000?
 - A. No, I don't believe so.
 - Q. Well, the original deed of trust was \$250,000?
 - A. Again, I told you I believe there was a second.
 - Q. For approximately how much money?
- 17 | A. I don't recall.
 - Q. Okay. You said, though, the purchase price was around 270,000?
 - A. Around there, yes. 270 to 300,000, somewhere in that neighborhood.
 - Q. Okay. All right. So the second deed of trust to the Harrod Family Trust at the time of purchase then would have been something less than \$50,000; is that correct?

- 1 A. I don't recall.
 - Q. Okay. Getting back to the one that was done in 2003 for \$325,000, did you receive additional funds at that point in time from the Harrod Family Trust?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. What was the purpose, then, of increasing the amount owed to the Harrod Family Trust to \$325,000?
 - A. Again, I explained I don't believe I increased the amount I owed to the Harrod Family Trust with that transaction. I feel like you're asking me the same question over and over again.
 - Q. Well, if you had originally at the time of purchase executed a first deed of trust to the Harrod Family Trust for 250,000, which we have a copy of, and a second which we don't have a copy of, but you've indicated the purchase price was no more than \$300,000?
 - MS. KEMP: Objection, misstates the testimony. He's estimating approximately 270 to 300,000.
 - MR. McGAUGHEY: I said no more than 300,000
 - MS. KEMP: I don't believe he said that. He said it's an approximation.
 - BY MR. McGAUGHEY:
 - Q. All right. The deed of trust that you executed in 2003 for \$325,000, did you receive any additional funds at that point in time --

- 1 A. I don't believe so, no.
 - Q. What was the purpose of executing the deed of trust at that point in time?
 - A. I don't recall the specifics, but my grandfather frequently came to me with ideas for refinancing the house and repackaging things.
 - Q. Were there any other loans on the house at that point in time in 2003, other than the loan or loans to the Harrod Family Trust?
 - A. I don't recall if it was the loan to the Harrod Family Trust that was paid off or a bank in between those two, but there would have been no other loans other than the deeds of trust or the notes.
 - Q. And then your testimony here today is that no other funds were received by you in 2003 from the Harrod Family Trust either for yourself or for any improvements to the house?
 - A. Not that I recall, no.
 - Q. Okay. Then in 2006 you executed another deed of trust to the Harrod Family Trust, this in the amount of \$735,000?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Between the time you executed the deed of trust in 2003 and the one in 2006, had you taken out additional loans from other banks or any other entities

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

- 1 or individuals secured by the house?
- A. Replacement loans, not additional loans.
- 3 Q. Replacement --
- A. So I had refinanced the house a number of times.
 - Q. At the time you refinanced the house, was the Harrod Family Trust paid off?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So there would have been a reconveyance then at some point in time of this deed of trust in 2003?
 - MS. KEMP: Objection, that's -- if you can answer that.
 - THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't know if my grandfather ever signed those documents, but I know that the banks did pay my grandfather the full balance on the loans.
- 17 BY MR. McGAUGHEY:
 - Q. Okay. I'm going to show you now Exhibit -- I think it's G, which is this borrower's closing statement from North Orange County Escrow.
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. That shows that Countrywide was paid off 600 -- \$591,186.40?
- A. They were actually paid more than that, but the payoff on the loan was in that amount, yes.

- Q. Why were they paid more than that?
 - A. Because there was a prepayment penalty and fees associated with the transaction.
 - Q. Now, in addition to the amounts paid to Countrywide for the loan and the prepayment and other fees, there's an unused loan amount of \$125,000?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Is that cash you received?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Where did that go?
 - A. It's an unused loan amount.
 - Q. Why was the loan taken out more than was needed to pay off the bank and the other fees related thereto?
 - A. As I stated before, my grandfather frequently had, you know, creative ideas for how he wanted to package financing and that was his suggestion. And I could -- I made some assumptions at the time why that suggestion was made, but I don't -- I honestly don't know.
 - Q. Well, if you're signing -- and I assume at the time that you signed this deed of trust, you also signed a promissory note; would that be correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And the promissory note would have been in the

- 1 | same amount of 735,000?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. So when you signed that note and deed of trust, you're agreeing to make payments on the amount of \$735,000 over whatever period of time that note was set for; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And yet your testimony here today is you didn't receive \$735,000, you only received 735 minus 125 which would be 610?
 - A. I didn't receive those funds, but they went to pay off the loan, yes.
 - Q. Pay off your obligations so you received the benefit of those funds?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. But the \$125,000 was -- why were you increasing your obligation, if you can recall, over and above what you actually needed to do this whole transaction?
 - A. This was a dealing between my grandfather and I. I trusted him. I trusted what he told me, and so I signed the documents.
 - Q. Can you remember anything that he said to you at that point in time as to why he was having you incur an obligation for \$125,000 more than you were actually

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

- 1 | borrowing from the Harrod Family Trust?
- A. He didn't want me to get a second on the house. He wanted me to deal with him if I wanted any additional financing.
 - Q. Okay. So then was there some agreement that he was going to furnish you this additional \$125,000 when you wanted it?
 - A. If it was needed, yes.
 - Q. And did you ever use any of that 125,000?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. And after this loan was made in 2006 by the Harrod Family Trust, did you ever borrow any other funds as secured by the residence from anyone?
 - A. No.
 - I'm sorry, would you repeat the timeline again. I just want to make sure I answered correctly.
 - Q. At any time after October 11, 2006, did you ever borrow from any entity or individual any funds which were secured by the residence on Windflower?
 - A. That's what I thought you asked and I answered correctly. The answer is no.
- MR. McGAUGHEY: Okay. Ernie, that covers what I had.
 - MR. ALGORRI: Ms. Srivastav.
 - MS. SRIVASTAV: Couple of questions.

1	EXAMINATION
2	BY MS. SRIVASTAV:
3	Q. You indicated that you had a conversation with
4	Mr. Algorri regarding the regarding the moneys owed
5	and other things. Do you recall the date of that
6	conversation?
7	A. I do not.
8	Q. Okay. Do you recall what around which
9	month it was? Was it last year?
10	A. My recollection would be based on his question
11	which I think said it was October.
12	Q. October of last year. Okay.
13	A. Which sounds about right.
14	Q. Now, you were contesting the amount owed to
15	the Harrod Family Trust; correct?
16	A. Yes.
17	Q. As per the last trust deed that you signed in
18	2006?
19	A. Yes.
20	Q. And what was the basis of your contention?
21	A. It did not reflect the intentions of my
22	grandfather.
23	Q. Any other?
24	MS. KEMP: Well, if you're asking him on legal
25	grounds, I think it's difficult

1 MS. SRIVASTAV: No, not any legal grounds. 2 legal grounds. 3 MS. KEMP: Because I would indicate that there were legal grounds that there was -- that were being 4 5 contested. 6 MS. SRIVASTAV: Okay. BY MS. SRIVASTAV: 7 So the other things would be legal grounds? 8 0. 9 Α. Legal grounds. Okay. That's it. I don't have any other 10 0. 11 questions. 12 13 FURTHER EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. ALGORRI: Just a couple of follow-up questions. 15 0. 16 You know, Bob, as we know, hasn't been seen 17 since July of '09. You testified you saw him about a week prior. We've taken other depositions on this case. 18 My understanding there was a meeting on or about 19 20 July 27th of '09 at Bob's house where he had Roberta and 21 Paula there to discuss his estate plan. 22 Were you present there at that meeting? 23 Α. No. 24 Q. Were you ever told what occurred at that 25 meeting?

- 1 Α. Yes. 2 0. Who told you? 3 Α. My aunts and my parents. What did they tell you about that meeting? Q. 4 MS. SRIVASTAV: Objection, hearsay. 5 MS. KEMP: Objection relevance as to why 6 7 you're asking -- you said you're indicating that you wanted to ask him questions as to any amounts that he 8 9 owed and any funds that he owed to the trust. MR. ALGORRI: Well, it deals with disposition 10 11 of trust assets; that's what the sum of that meeting 12 was. We already have it in deposition. We don't know if you were there and or what you were told. It could 13 14 be a prior inconsistent statement, it could be a whole host of admissible reasons. 15 16 MS. KEMP: Well, he's indicating he wasn't there. 17 18
 - MR. ALGORRI: He's indicating he had a discussion with people that were there.

THE WITNESS: The gist of those conversations -- okay. The gist of the conversations as relayed to me was that there were open questions surrounding my grandmother's portion of the estate and that he wanted to resolve those.

25 ///

19

20

21

22

23

BY MR. ALGORRI:

- Q. Was there any representation made by you -- made to you from any participant to that meeting that there had been, in fact, a resolution of those issues?
- A. In those words, no, but they -- there seemed -- people seemed to be satisfied as a result of the conversation.
- Q. Now, it's your understanding that Bob -- Bob is essentially missing; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. All right. Do you have any idea where he is?
 - A. I do not know.
 - MS. KEMP: Objection relevance.

14 BY MR. ALGORRI:

- Q. Did you ever talk with any of your family members about his whereabouts, potential whereabouts?
- MS. KEMP: Objection, relevance. What is the purpose of these questions?
- MR. ALGORRI: This is an estate action. Is he alive or dead? That has a direct bearing on whether this is even a proper legal proceeding. If he has evidence to establish the man's still alive, then we're all spinning our wheels.
- MS. KEMP: Objection, relevance. If you're going to start asking him questions regarding his

6

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- grandfather, this is not an appropriate forum for that.
- 2 BY MR. ALGORRI:
- Q. We'd all like to find Bob, quite frankly. And you don't have any idea where he is; correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. All right. Did you ever undertake any efforts to ascertain his whereabouts?
- MS. KEMP: Objection, relevance and going into privacy issues now.
- 10 BY MR. ALGORRI:
- 11 Q. You can answer.
- MS. KEMP: I'm instructing him not to go into this area. Once he goes down this path, that's not -that's not the purpose of this deposition.
 - MR. ALGORRI: The test of relevance is pretty broad counsel you know that. So your objection now is what? Privacy?
 - MS. KEMP: Yes.
 - MR. ALGORRI: Privacy of whom?
 - MS. KEMP: My client. Again, there have been a lot of personal attacks. My understanding that some of them have emanated from people in this room. There have been misrepresentations regarding my client's feelings regarding his father my client's interest regarding his father -- excuse me, his grandfather.

There have been allegations that he threw his grandfather's body out of an airplane. This has become an enormous emotional issue and if -- if you're fishing now to talk about what happened with his grandfather, I'm not certain what your purpose is other than to harass him at this time.

MR. ALGORRI: Okay. You've made your objection for the record. Let me just state that this is an estate action. One of the predicates to an estate action is whether somebody is alive or dead or somehow mentally incapacitated. And you're saying that you're now going to object on grounds of privacy even though we're just trying to find out if he's alive or dead or mentally incapacitated?

MS. KEMP: You can ask him if he has any knowledge regarding whether he's alive or dead or in any other capacity. You can ask him that specific question and he'll answer that.

BY MR. ALGORRI:

- Q. Okay. Well, I think we've done that, but I want to make it abundantly clear: You have no idea if he's alive or dead; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. All right. Do you know of anybody that knows whether he's alive or dead?

- 1 A. No.
- MS. KEMP: Objection, asked and answered. He
- 3 has no idea.
- 4 BY MR. ALGORRI:
- Q. Okay. And just finally just some tidy up
- 6 questions here. After you found out -- and by the way,
- 7 | when did you find out that he had gone missing? Was it
- 8 | the day of his disappearance?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Did you go to the house?
- 11 A. I did.
- Q. And you went inside the house?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Did you notice anything amiss?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Now, your father had been there earlier in the
- 17 day; correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. All right. Did you ask him whether anything
- 20 had been taken from the house, you know, trust assets,
- 21 things like that?
- 22 A. I didn't ask him.
- Q. Any valuables?
- 24 A. I didn't ask him.
- MR. ALGORRI: Okay. All right. Let me just

24

25

give this a once over, and I think we can wrap this 1 2 thing up. 3 MR. McGAUGHEY: While you're doing that, I'd like to ask just a couple of follow-up questions. 4 5 MR. ALGORRI: Sure. Please. 6 7 FURTHER EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. McGAUGHEY: 9 You mentioned several times today in testimony about the obligation you owed to the trust that was 10 secured by the Windflower Lane residence about your 11 grandfather's intent, what is your understanding of what 12 13 your grandfather's intent was with respect to the 14 promissory note and deed of trust that you signed to 15 secure that promissory note? 16 Α. He told me on many occasions it would be 17 forgiven. 18 0. Was that ever put in writing? 19 Α. Not that I'm aware of. 20 MR. ALGORRI: Anything further? 21 MS. SRIVASTAV: Nothing. 2.2 MR. ALGORRI: Okay. Stipulation.

MS. KEMP: What I would like to do on the record first, then, is I'd like to revisit the issue of the specific question that you had directed to my

client, and I had instructed him not to answer. If we could read that question back on the record, and I would at this time as far as a limiting instruction, if we're not going to be delving into his personal opinions regarding his grandfather, but you can ask that specific question again, I will instruct him to answer it.

MR. ALGORRI: I forget the question.

MS. SRIVASTAV: I had her read back the question so I know. The question was: Did you have any intention to pay him back in case he resurfaced, in case your grandfather resurfaced?

THE WITNESS: And I can answer?

MS. KEMP: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Okay. I'm sorry. I hadn't really thought of every possible outcome, but I would have done whatever my grandfather wanted. So if he wanted me to pay him back, I would have paid him back.

MR. ALGORRI: Let's do this: There had also been an instruction not to answer on the terms of the settlement, the reconveyance of the property on -- I'm sorry, is that Windflower? I keep messing up the name. All right -- on Windflower.

And I'll make you a deal, Counsel, if you want, as matters presently stand there is an ex parte set for September 1 at 1:30, Department L73 to move to

compel an answer to that question on the terms of the settlement regarding the reconveyance. If you want -- by means of meeting and conferring, if you would like to simply fax me a copy of that document, the motion goes off calendar. I'm trying to cajole you into working with me. If not, motion stands. Send it to me, the matter's over.

MS. KEMP: I have no objection to providing that to you. I said it would be provided to you. The conditions are that it's supposed to -- that it needs to be maintained in confidence and not become broadcast over the Internet or a public document.

And I think that the court is going to make that determination so it would be precipitous of us to release information when the court is going to be requested to maintain that as a confidential document. It's my understanding that that document will be presented to the court en camera because it is a confidential document with a request for instructions.

MR. ALGORRI: Okay.

MS. SRIVASTAV: Can I make a suggestion, Counsel?

MR. ALGORRI: Sure. Please.

MS. SRIVASTAV: I can circulate a stipulation among -- for the parties to sign regarding keeping the

- agreement confidential, and by confidential I mean just to be for the eyes of the parties. That means your client, counsel, and --
 - MR. ALGORRI: And the court, obviously.
 - MS. SRIVASTAV: And the court, of course, yeah. And that way you'll have the agreement, and their concerns would be also taken care of.
 - MR. ALGORRI: Thoughts, Terry?
 - MR. McGAUGHEY: Well, there'd obviously be no restriction on using the document or introducing it into evidence at the time of the trial of this matter or asking further questions about it.
 - MS. KEMP: And if it's in confidence, again, that would be up to the court if they want to maintain the confidentiality en camera, but there's no restrictions. And I think that -- I think that the law on confidential documents covers that.
 - It certainly can be made -- it can be subpoenaed, it can be introduced for those reasons.

 There's obviously no prohibition for that, but it's not to become a public document. And it's not just that our -- our contention.
 - The trust is under compulsion at this time to maintain that document as a confidential document. And there could be repercussions to the trust for disclosing

those documents as well. And I think we're all concerned with those issues. So we want to treat it as it should be treated.

MR. ALGORRI: Okay. So --

MS. SRIVASTAV: I'm not going to comment completely on that, but I'm just suggesting that's a way of compromising between the parties.

MR. ALGORRI: Okay. I just don't want to deal with -- listen, I really doubt I'll have to re-notice the deposition on that. That sounds reasonable. So pending a ruling, I will hold it in confidence. It will --

If you want to circulate any kind of draft language you have for some kind of protective order on that or whatever secrecy agreement. Without prejudice to my right to re-notice the deposition on any points on that if in due course a judge says it's open season or whatever.

I guess what I'm trying to tell you is that I'll work with you on that. Get me the document. It stays here. Obviously, it needs to be part of the accounting, part of the court record.

If we need to take a deposition of that after a judge has made a ruling on any such thing saying it is fair game for disclosure, then I reserve my right to

1 re-notice the deposition at that time. Very unlikely.

That's my suggestion. Any problem with that?

MS. KEMP: I'll stipulate to that.

MS. SRIVASTAV: So stipulated.

MR. ALGORRI: Okay. So let's propose a stipulation that the court reporter can be relieved of her duties under the code of civil procedure. That the original deposition transcript shall go directly to the offices of Ms. Kemp, who shall make it available to the deponent.

So within approximately 14 days the original transcript shall go to the offices of Ms. Kemp who shall make it available to the deponent and have an additional seven days thereafter to have the deponent read it, sign it, make any changes or corrections and an additional 48 hours thereafter to notify Algorri of the fact that it has been signed and/or whether there has been any such changes or corrections.

That the original transcript can been signed under penalty of perjury. That the original will be maintained in custody of Ms. Kemp's office who shall produce it at time of trial or arbitration related to this action. That if, in the event the original transcript is lost, unavailable, or just simply just not produced at time of any proceeding related to this

1 action, that a certified unsigned copy can be used for 2. all intents and purposes. 3 Did I miss anything? 4 MS. KEMP: Actually, I want to go through that because I want to make certain that I understood exactly 5 6 what you said. The original is going to be provided to 7 my office. I would request more than seven days turnaround for Mr. Harrod to review it. That's very 8 short notice. And so that he can make any corrections 9 10 or changes to that document. And also, I'm not certain what you wanted me 11 to do ultimately with the original, who you wanted me to 12 13 lodge that with. 14 MR. ALGORRI: You get to keep it. 15 MS. KEMP: I keep the original. 16 MR. ALGORRI: I put that in there. 17 So we have a trial October 3. So we don't have a whole lot of time. How much time you looking at? 18 19 MS. KEMP: Well, the code typically provides a lot more than seven days, but I think a turnaround of at 20 least 14 days. That includes weekends. 21 22 MR. ALGORRI: So today we're at --23 MR. McGAUGHEY: Which brings us up to a week before trial basically. 24 25 MR. ALGORRI: I haven't gone by the code in a

1	hundred years. Let's just go by the code. Makes it
2	easy. No stipulation. Let's go by the code. Can I
3	have a dirty copy please, and a certified copy in due
4	course.
5	Done. Thank you very much, sir.
6	(The deposition was concluded
7	at 11:25 a.m.)
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 ERNEST P. ALGORRI, ESQ., SBN 130059 **DeWITT ALGORRI & ALGORRI** 2 25 E. Union Street Pasadena, CA 91103 3 Tel: (626) 568-4000; Fax: (626) 584-3980 4 TERENCE C. McGAUGHEY, ESQ. - SBN 80398 McGAUGHEY & SPIRITO 5 116 Avenue I Redondo Beach, CA 90277 6 Tel: (310) 465-1000; Fax: (310) 465-1030 7 Attorneys for Petitioner 8 **FONTELLE HARROD** 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 **COUNTY OF ORANGE** 11 12 CONSERVATORSHIP OF THE ESTATE 13 OF ROBERT MERLE HARROD, 14 Proposed Conservatee. 15 **DOCUMENTS** 16 DATE: 8:30 a.m. TIME: PLACE: 17 18 19 20 TO ALL INTERESTED PARTIES. 21 22 on examination, as follows: 23 24 25 26 27 28

CASE NO.: 30-2009-00291267-PR-CE-LJC

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF ANDREW HARROD ON ORAL EXAMINATION AND FOR PRODUCTION OF

August 29, 2011

DeWitt Algorri & Algorri

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that petitioner Fontelle Harrod will take the deposition,

	EPONENT	DATE	TIME
1. A	ndrew Harrod	August 29, 2011	8:30 a.m.



28

Said deposition will take place at the law office of DeWitt Algorri & Algorri, located at 25 E. Union Street, Pasadena, CA 91103.

NOTICE IS FURTHER GIVEN that the deponent shall bring and produce at the deposition, at the time and place set out above, the following documents:

- All writings that reflect correspondence sent by Robert Harrod to deponent;
- All writings that reflect correspondence sent by deponent to Robert Harrod;
- 3. All writings that reflect correspondence sent to Robert Harrod's attorney;
- All writings that reflect correspondence received from Robert Harrod's attorney;
- All writings that reflect loans of money by Robert Harrod to deponent;
- 6. All writings that reflect deeds of trust executed by Robert Harrod;
- All writings that reflect payments of money received from Robert Harrod by deponent;
- All writings that reflect payments of money made to any third party by Robert Harrod;
- All writings that reflect payments of money by deponent to the other deponent;
- 10. All writings that reflect the deed of trust to deponent's residence;
- All writings that reflect the payment of monies within the last ten (10) years to any note or mortgage holder.

DATED: August 11, 2011

The deposition will be taken before a deposition officer who is authorized to administer an oath. If the deposition is not completed on the date set out above, the taking of the deposition will be continued from day-to-day thereafter, except for Sundays and holidays at the same place, until completed.

A list of all parties or attorneys for parties on whom this Notice of Deposition is being served is shown on the accompanying Proof of Service.

DeWITT, ALGORRI & ALGORRI

By

ERNEST P. ALGORRI Attorneys for Petitioner FONTELLE HARROD

Julia A. Kemp #146670 LAW OFFICES OF JULIA A. KEMP 800 So. Beach Blvd., Suite "A" La Habra, California 90631 (562) 691-5775

Attorney for Deponent, Andrew Harrod

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OR ORANGE

TRUST OF CASE NO.: 30-2009-00297798-PR-TR-LJC (Related Case No. 30-2009-00291267-PR-CE-ROBERT MERLE HARROD LJC) OBJECTIONS TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO BE PRODUCED AT DEPOSITION DATE: August 29, 2011 TIME: 8:30 a.m. PLACE: DeWitt Algorri & Algorri CONSERVATORSHIP OF THE ESTATE OF ROBERT MERLE HARROD. Conservatee.

TO ALL INTERESTED PARTIES.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that deponent Andrew Harrod objects to Fontelle Harrod's Request for production of documents and things attached to the deposition subpoena as follows.

Request No.1:

27

28

All writings that reflect correspondence sent by Robert Harrod to deponent

EXHIBIT

BE PRODUCED AT

1

Objection to Request No. 1:

Objection is made to this request on the grounds that the materials requested are not specified with reasonable particularity, the request invades Mr. Harrod's right of privacy, and the request is overbroad and vague. Further, this request calls for information not relevant to the subject matter of this action, and materials that are not reasonably within Mr. Andrew Harrod's possession; thus, this is an oppressive demand that is unjustly burdensome to Mr. Harrod.

Notwithstanding said objections, Mr. Harrod agrees to make a reasonable search for such documents that are in his possession.

Request No. 2:

All writings that reflect correspondence sent by deponent to Robert Harrod.

Objection to Request No. 2:

Objection is made to this request on the grounds that the materials requested are not specified with reasonable particularity, the request invades Mr. Harrod's right of privacy, and the request is over broad and vague. Further, this request calls for information not relevant to the subject matter of this action; thus, this is an oppressive demand that is unjustly burdensome to Mr. Harrod.

Notwithstanding said objections, Mr. Harrod agrees to make a reasonable search for such documents that are in his possession.

Request No. 3:

All writings that reflect correspondence sent to Robert Harrod's attorney.

Objection to Request No. 3:

Objection is made to this request on the grounds that the materials requested invade attorney work product privileges, the materials are not specified with reasonable particularity, the request invades Mr. Harrod's right of privacy, and the request is over broad and vague. Further, this request calls for information not relevant to the subject matter of this action and materials that are not reasonably within Mr. Andrew Harrod's possession; thus, this is an oppressive demand that is unjustly burdensome to Mr. Harrod.

Notwithstanding said objections, Mr. Harrod agrees to make a reasonable search for such documents that are in his possession.

Request No. 4:

All writings that reflect correspondence received from Robert Harrod's attorney.

Objection to Request No. 4:

Objection is made to this request on the grounds that the materials requested invade attorney work product privileges, the materials are not specified with reasonable particularity, the request invades Mr. Harrod's right of privacy, and the request is over broad and vague. Further, this request calls for information not relevant to the subject matter of this action and materials that are not reasonably within Mr. Andrew Harrod's possession; thus, this is an oppressive demand that is unjustly burdensome to Mr. Harrod.

Notwithstanding said objections, Mr. Harrod agrees to make a reasonable search for such documents that are in his possession.

Request No. 5:

All writings that reflect loans of money by Robert Harrod to deponent.

Objection to Request No. 5:

Objection is made to this request on the grounds that the materials requested are ambiguous, vague and over broad, they are not specified with reasonable particularity, and the request invades Mr. Harrod's right of privacy. Further, this request calls for information not relevant to the subject matter of this action; thus, this is an oppressive demand that is unjustly burdensome to Mr. Harrod.

Notwithstanding said objections, Mr. Harrod agrees to make a reasonable search for such documents that are in his possession.

Request No. 6:

All writings that reflect deeds of trust executed by Robert Harrod.

Objection to Request No. 6:

Objection is made to this request on the grounds that the materials requested are not reasonably within Mr. Andrew Harrod's possession, and the request is over broad and vague. Further, this request

calls for information not relevant to the subject matter of this action; thus, this is an oppressive demand that is unjustly burdensome to Mr. Harrod.

Notwithstanding said objections, Mr. Harrod agrees to make a reasonable search for such documents that are in his possession.

Request No. 7:

All writings that reflect payments of money received from Robert Harrod by deponent.

Objection to Request No. 7:

Objection is made to this request on the grounds that the materials requested are ambiguous, vague, and over broad, the request is not specified with reasonable particularity, and it invades Mr. Harrod's right of privacy. Further, the request calls for information not relevant to the subject matter of this action and materials that are not reasonably within Mr. Andrew Harrod's possession; thus, this is an oppressive demand that is unjustly burdensome to Mr. Harrod.

Notwithstanding said objections, Mr. Harrod agrees to make a reasonable search for such documents that are in his possession.

Request No. 8:

All writings that reflect payments of money made to any third party by Robert Harrod.

Objection to Request No. 8:

Objection is made to this request on the grounds that the materials requested are not reasonably within Mr. Andrew Harrod's possession, thus, this is an oppressive demand that is unjustly burdensome to Mr. Harrod. Further, the request is over broad, it is not specified with reasonable particularity, and it calls for information not relevant to the subject matter of this action.

Notwithstanding said objections, Mr. Harrod agrees to make a reasonable search for such documents that are in his possession.

Request No. 9:

All writings that reflect payments of money by deponent to the other deponent.

Objection to Request No. 9:

Objection is made to this request on the grounds that the materials requested are ambiguous vague, and unintelligible.

Request No. 10:

All writings that reflect the deed of trust to deponent's residence.

Objection to Request No. 10:

Objection is made to this request on the grounds that the materials requested invade Mr Harrod's right of privacy, and the request is unintelligible, ambiguous and over broad. Further, this request calls for information not relevant to the subject matter of this action; thus, this is an oppressive demand that is unjustly burdensome to Mr. Harrod.

Request No. 11:

All writings that reflect the payment of monies within the last ten (10) years to any note or mortgage holder.

Objection to Request No. 11:

Objection is made to this request on the grounds that the materials requested are ambiguous vague, and unintelligible and overbroad, the request is not specified with reasonable particularity and it invades Mr. Harrod's right to privacy. Further, the request calls for information not relevant to, or likely to lead information relevant to, the subject matter of this action and materials that are not reasonably within Mr. Harrod's possession; thus, this is an oppressive demand that is unjustly burdensome to Mr. Harrod.

Respectfully Submitted:

Dated: August 26, 2011

Julia A Kemp, Attorney for Andrew Harrod

PROOF OF SERVICE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE

I am employed in the County of Orange, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business and place of employment is the LAW OFFICE OF JULIA A. KEMP, 800 So. Beach Blvd., Suite A, La Habra, CA 90631.

On August 26, 2011 I served the following entitled document(s):

Opposition to Motions to Compel and for Sanctions;

Declaration of Julia A. Kemp in Opposition to Motions to Compel and for Sanctions; Declaration of Andrew Harrod in Opposition to Motions to Compel and for Sanctions

I served said documents as set out below or as set out in the attached <u>Service List</u> incorporated herein by reference.

Ernest P. Algorri, Esq.

FAX: (626) 584-3980

Dewitt Algorri & Algorri

[X] BY FACSIMILE (C.C.P. § 1013(e))

- [X] I transmitted the above described document(s) by facsimile transmission, from the Law Offices of Julia A. Kemp, located at 800 So. Beach Blvd., La Habra, Ca. 90631, to the following facsimile telephone number: (626) 584-3980.
- [] A copy of the certificate of transmission is attached.
- [X] (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.
- [] (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made.

Executed on August 26, 2011 in La Habra, California

By Jason P Gold Declarant

Recording Requested By First American Title Insurance Company

Order No 9913712 -Escrow No. 992282-BB Loan No.

WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

MR AND MRS ANDREW HARROD 408 Windflower Lane Placentia, California 92870

Recorded in the County of Orange, California Gary L. Granville, Clerk/Recorder

9.00

19990452184 2:25pm 06/17/99

G02 2 09 148.50 6.00 3.00 0.00 0.00 148.50 0.00 0.00 0.00

DOCUMENTARY TRANSFER TAX \$_

XXComputed on the consideration or value of property conveyed; OR

.....Computed on the consideration or value less tiens or encumbrances Remaining at time of sale,

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

The Undersegned Signature of Declarant or Agent determining tax - Firm Name

A.P.N.: 340-243-03

GRANT DEED

FOR A VALUABLE CONSIDERATION, receipt of which is hereby acknowledged,

L AND PE

hereby GRANT(S) to

ANDREW ROBERT HARROD and TI

Husband and Wife, as JOINT TENANTS

the real property in the City of Placentia, County of Orange, State of California, described as LOT 39, OF TRACT NO 8037, AS SHOWN ON A MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 323, PAGES 49 AND 50 OF MISCELLANEOUS MAPS, RECORDS OF ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA (Complete Legal attached as Exhibit "A") EXCEPT ALL OIL, PETROLEUM, NATURAL GAS, MINERAL RIGHTS AND OTHER HYDROCARBON SUBSTANCES LYING BELOW A DEPTH OF 500 FEET FROM THE SURFACE OF SAID LAND, WITHOUT ANY RIGHT OF ENTRY UPON THE SURFACE OF SAID LAND FOR THE PURPOSE OF EXPLORING FOR, EXTRACTING, MINING, BORING, REMOVING OR MARKETING SAID SUBSTANCES, AS RESERVED BY C.S. CHAPMAN AND OTHERS, IN THE DEED RECORDED IN BOOK 10519, PAGE 424, OFFICAL RECORDS. Commonly known as: 408 Windflower Lane, Placentia, California 92870

Dated June 15, 1999

STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF ORANGE

On June L., 1999, before me, R. B. Notary Public, Personally appeared T. and P. personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s)

acted, executed the instrument. WITNESS my hand and official scal.

Mail taxstatements; Same as about

Terpy-McGill Penny McGill

8/ R. 89 Commission # 1197948 Notary Public - California Orange County My Comm. Expires Oct 9, 2002

1002 (1/94)

ORANGE,CA Document: DD 1999,452184 Page 1 of 2

Printed on 7/28/2011 12:07:08 PM



EXHIBIT

Government Code 27361.7

I certify under the penalty of perjury that the notary seal on this document reads as follows:

Name of Notary:

Date Commission Expires:

at 9,2003

County where Bond Is Filed:

neincy

Commission No.:

11979 48

Manufacturer/Vendor No.:

NWOI

Place of execution - Santa Ana Date- 10-17-99

井り

COPERANTERINATION OF THE CO.

AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO: Mr. and Mrs. Robert Harrod 523 Carnation Drive Placentia, CA 92870 Recorded in Official Records, County of Orange Tom Daly, Clerk-Recorder

16.00

2003001054453 02:47pm 08/29/03

123 48 D11 A36 3

A.P.N.: 340-243-03

Order No.: 2067038-3

Space Above This Line for Recorder's Use Only

0-243-03

Escrow No.: 54628

SHORT FORM DEED OF TRUST AND ASSIGNMENT OF RENTS

THIS DEED OF TRUST, made this Eleventh day of August, 2003, between

TRUSTOR: Andrew Robert Harrod and This Husband and Wife as Joint Tenants

whose address is 408 Windflower Lane, Placentia, CA 92870, and

TRUSTEE: Gold Country Escrow, Inc., a CA Corporation, a California Corporation, and

BENEFICIARY: Robert M. Harrod and Georgia M. Harrod, Trustees of The Harrod Family Trust Dated the 17th Day of February, 1995

Witnesseth: That Trustor IRREVOCABLY GRANTS, TRANSPERS AND ASSIGNS to TRUSTEE IN TRUST, WITH POWER OF SALE, that property in the City of Placentia, Orange County, State of California, described as:

Lot 39 of Tract 8037, in the City of Placentia, County of Orange, California as per map recorded in Book 323, Page(s) 49 and 50, of Miscellaneous Maps in the Office of the County Recorder of said County.

This Deed of Trust is given and accepted upon the express provision that should the property bereinbefore described, or any part hereof, be conveyed or alienated by Trustor, either voluntarily or by operation of law, without Beneficiary's written consent, then all sums secured hereby shall, at Beneficiary's option, become immediately due and payable.

TOGETHER WITH the rents, issues, and profits thereof, SUBJECT, HOWEVER, to the right, power and authority given to and conferred upon Beneficiary by paragraph 10 of the provisions incorporated by reference to collect and apply such rents, issues and profits.

FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING: 1.Performance of each agreement of Trustor incorporated by reference or contained herein. 2.Payment of the indehtedness evidenced by one promissory note of even date herewith, and any extension or renewal thereof, in the principal sum of \$325,000.00 executed by Trustor in favor of Beneficiary or order. 3.Payment of such further sums as the then record owner of said property hereafter may borrow from Beneficiary, when evidenced by another nute (or notes) reciting it is so secured.

TO PROTECT THE SECURITY OF THIS DEED OF TRUST, TRUSTOR AGREES: By the execution and delivery of this Deed of Trust and the note secured hereby, that provisions (1) to (14), Inclusive, of the fictitious deed of trust recorded in Santa Barbara County and Sonoma County on October 18, 1961, and in all other counties on October 23, 1968, in the book and at the page of Official Records in the office of the county recorder of the county where said property is located, noted below and opposite the name of such county, viz:

PAGE 1 OF 4

EXHIBIT (75)

A.P.N.: 340-243-03

County E		Page 684	County Imperial	Book 1091	Page 501	County Merced	Book 1547	Page 538	County San Benito	Bnok 271	Page 383	County Siskiyou	Book 468	Page 181
	-63.7													
Alpine	1	250	Inyo	147	598	Modec	184	851	San Bernardino	5567	61	Sulano	1105	182
Amador	104	348	Kern	3427	60	Mono	52	429	San Francisco	A332	905	Sononia	1851	689
Butte	1145	5 1	Kings	792	833	Montercy	2194	538	San Joaquin	2470	311	Stanislaus	1715	456
Calaveras	145	152	Lake	362	39	Napa	639	86	San Luis Obispo	1151	12.	Sutter	572	297
Colusa	296	617	Lassen	171	471	Nevada	305	320	San Mateo	4078	420	Tchama	401	289
Contra Costa	3971	3 47	Los Angeles	T2055	899	Orange	5889	611	Santa Barbara	1878	860	Trinity	93	366
Del Norte	78	414	Madera	810	170	Placer	895	301	Santa Clara	5336	01	Tulare	2294	275
Et Dorado	568	456	Marin	1508	339	Plumas	151	5	Santa Cruz	1431	494	Tuolumne	135	47
Fresio	4620	1572	Mariposa	77	292	Riverside	3005	523	Shasta	684	528	Ventura	2062	386
Gtenn	422	184	Mendocino	579	530	Sacramento	4331	62	Sierra	29	335	Yolo	653	245
Humboldt	657	527				San Diego 5	ieries Z	Dook 1961	Page 183887			Yuba	334	486

(which provisions, identical in all counties, are printed on page 3 of this document) hereby are adopted and incorporated herein and made a part hereof as fully as though set forth herein at length; that he will observe and perform said provisions; and that the references to property, obligations, and parties in said provisions shall be construed to refer to the property, obligations, and parties set forth in this Deed of Trust.

In accordance with Section 2924b, Civil Code, request is hereby made that a copy of any Notice of Default and a copy of any Notice of Sale be mailed to Trustor at Trustor's address hereinbefore set forth, or if none shown, to Trustor at the property address.

NOTICE: A COPY OF ANY NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND OF ANY NOTICE OF SALE WILL BE SENT ONLY TO THE ADDRESS CONTAINED IN THIS RECORDED REQUEST. IF YOUR ADDRESS CHANGES, A NEW REQUEST MUST BE RECORDED.

	CONTAINED IN THIS RECORDED REQUI	EST. IF YOUR ADDRE	SS CHANGES, A NEV	W REQUEST MUST	BE RECORDED.	
	Signature of Trustor(s)		12	Wed		
(Andrew Robert Harrod		THE DESCRIPTION OF THE PERSON	11011	-	
	Document Date: August 11, 2003)SS				
	COUNTY OF UNANGS)	n 100			
	On Auto. 272003	before me,	B 104)		
	personally appeared Arguery	the same of the sa	200 ANO	77	J. 4	,
	personally known to my for proved to me en the and acknowledged to me that my shelled for the personal or the entity might behalf of which WITNESS my hand any official seat. Signature	utget the same in Aighthealth	Excluthorized capacity	(a) whose name(a) ista Gest and that by his ha	enhistribed to the within	n instrumen e instrumen
	XX (This area for offi	cial notarial scal.	
	B JO N Commission # 13415 Notary Public - Califor Orange County					

PAGE 2 OF 4

GOVERNMENT CODE 27361.7

I certify under penalty of perjury that the notary seal on the document to which this statement is attached, reads as follows:

Name of notary Bon 50 n
Date commisson expires 7-21-00
Commission # 1341593
County where bond is filed
Manufacture/Vender #
Place of execution Orange
Date 8-79-03
Signature North American Title Company

#6

RECORDING REQUESTED BY:

AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO: Robert Harrod Georgia Harrod 522 Camation Drive Placentia, CA. 92870 Recorded in Official Records, Orange County

Tom Daly, Clerk-Recorder

Control of the contro

2006000673387 08:00am 10/11/06

18.00

108 73 D11 A36 3

A.P.N.: 340-243-03

Order No :

Space Above This Line for Recorder's Use Only

Escrow No.: 54362-06

SHORT FORM DEED OF TRUST AND ASSIGNMENT OF RENTS

THIS DEED OF TRUST, made this Second Day of October, 2006, between

TRUSTOR: Andrew Robert Harrod and Tallock Husband and Wife as Joint Tenants

whose address is 408 Windflower Lane, Placentia, CA 92870, and

TRUSTEE: North County Services, Inc., a California Corporation, and

THIS DOCUMENT IS FILED FOR RECORD BY TICOR TITLE INS. CO. AS AN ACCOMODATION ONLY. IT HAS NOT BEEN EXAMINED AS TO ITS EXECUTION OR AS TO ITS EFFECT UPON THE TITLE.

BENEFICIARY: Robert M. Harrod and Georgia M. Harrod, Trustees of The Harrod Family Trust dated 2-17-95

Witnesseth: That Trustor IRREVOCABLY GRANTS, TRANSFERS AND ASSIGNS to TRUSTEE IN TRUST. WITH POWER OF SALE, that property in the City of Placentia, Orange County, State of California, described as:

Lot 39 of Tract 8037, in the City of Placentia, County of Orange, California as per map recorded in Book 323, Page(s) 49 and 50, of Miscellaneous Maps in the Office of the County Recorder of said County.

Except all Oil, Petroleum, Natural Gas, Mineral Rights and other hydrocarbon substances lying below a depth of 500 feet from the surface of said land, without any right of entry upon the surface of said land for the purpose of exploring for, extracting, mining, boring, remobing or marketing said substances, as reserved by C.S. Chapman and others, in the deed recorded in Book 10519, Page 424, Official Records.

This Note is given and accepted as a portion of the purchase price.

This Deed of Trust is given and accepted upon the express provision that should the property hereinbefore described, or any part hereof, be conveyed or alienated by Trustor, either voluntarily or by operation of law, without Beneficiary's written consent, then all sums secured hereby shall, at Beneficiary's option, become immediately due and payable.

TOGETHER WITH the rents, issues, and profits thereof, SUBJECT, HOWEVER, to the right, power and authority given to and conferred upon Beneficiary by paragraph 10 of the provisions incorporated by reference to collect and apply such rents, issues and profits.

FOR THE PURPOSE OF SECURING: 1.Performance of each agreement of Trustor incorporated by reference or contained herein. 2.Payment of the indebtedness evidenced by one promissory note of even date herewith, and any extension or renewal thereof, in the principal sum of \$735,000.00 executed by Trustor in favor of Beneficiary or order. 3.Payment of such further sums as the then record owner of said property hereafter may borrow from Beneficiary, when evidenced by another note (or notes) reciting it is so secured.

another note (or notes) recting it is so secured.

10 PROTECT THE SECURITY OF THIS DEED OF TRUST, TRUSTOR AGREES: By the execution and delivery of this Deed of Trust and the note secured hereby, that provisions (1) to (14), inclusive, of the flottious deed of trust recurded in Santa Barbara County and Sonoma County on October 18, 1961, and in all other counties on October 23, 1961, in the hook and at the page of Official Records in the office of the county recorder of the county where said property is located, noted below and opposite the name of such county, viz:

Page 1

EXHIBIT

SOFML!	воок	PAGE	COUNTY	воок	PAGE	COUNTY	воок	PAGE	COUNTY	воок	PAGE
Alameda	1288	556	Kings	858	713	Placei	1028	379	Sierra	38	187
Alpins	3	130-31	Lake	437	110	Plumas	166	1307	Siskiyou	506	762
Amador	133	438	Lassen	192	367	Riverside	3778	347	Solano	1287	621
Butte	1330	513	Los Angeles	T-3878	874	Sacramento	5039	124	Sonoma	2067	427
Calaveras	185	338	Madera	911	136	San Benito	300	405	Stanislaus	1970	56
Colusa	323	391	Marin	1849	122	San Bemardino	6213	768	Sutter	655	585
Contra Costa	4684	1	Mariposa	90	453	San Francisco	A-804	596	Tehama	467	183
Del Norte	101	549	Mendacino	667	99	San Joaquin	2855	283	Trinity	108	596
El Dorado	704	635	Merced	1680	753	San Luis Obispo	1311	137	Tulare	2630	108
Fresno	5052	623	Modoc	191	93	San Mateo	4778	175	Tuolumne	177	160
Glenn	469	76	Mono	69	302	Santa Barbara	2065	881	Ventura	2607	237
Humboldt	801	83	Monterey	367	239	Santa Clara	6626	664	Yolo	769	16
Imperial	1189	701	Nepa	704	742	Santa Cruz	1638	607	Yuba	398	693
Inyo	165	672	Nevada	363	94	Shasta	800	633			
Kern	3756	690	Orange	7182	18	San Diego	SERIES 5	Book 196	4, Page 14977	4	

shall inure to and bind the parties hereto, with respect to the property above described. Said agreements, terms and provisions contained in said subdivisions A and B, (identical in all counties, and printed on pages 3 and 4 hereof) are by the within reference thereto, incorporated herein and made a part of this Deed of Trust for all purposes as fully as if set forth at length herein, and Beneficiary may charge for a statement regarding the obligation secured hereby, provided the charge therefor does not exceed the maximum allowed by law.

The undersigned Trustor, requests that a copy of any notice of default and any notice of sale hereunder be mailed to him at his address hereinbefore set forth.

Symature of Trustor Andrew Bobert Helfrod	Signature of Trustor
STATE OF CALIFORNIA }ss COUNTY OFOrange}	
personally appeared Andrew Robert Harrod and The J. personally known to me (or proved to me on the basis of sat	tisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within acuted the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their
WITNESS my hand and official seal. Signature B R. B	BANKAIR, BROCOMMISSION # 1197948 WAS Notary Public - Callfornia Callfornia Corange County And My Comm. Expires Oct 9, 2002
Administration of the second s	(This area for official notarial seal)

Recording Auguested By First American Title Insurance Company

Order No. 9913712

Escrow No. 992282-BB

Loan No.

WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

MR. AND MRS. ROBERT HARROD 124 N. May Avenue Monrovia, California 91016

Recorded in the County of Orange, California Gary L. Granville, Clerk/Recorder



19990452185 2:25pm 06/17/99

15.00

D11 A36 2 0 12.00 0.00 3.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

9913712-16

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE FOR RECORDER'S USE

DEED OF TRUST WITH ASSIGNMENT OF RENTS

(SHORT FORM)

This DEED OF TRUST, made this 15th day of June, 1999, between ANDREW ROBERT HARROD and Trusteen HAMMER, herein called TRUSTOR, whose address is 408 Windflower Lane, Placentia, California 92870,

FIRST AMERICAN TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY, a California corporation, herein called TRUSTEE, and THE HARROD FAMILY TRUST DATED THE 17TH DAY OF FEBRUARY 1995, herein called BENEFICIARY,

**ROBERT HARROD AND GEORGIA HARROD, TRUSTEES OF

WITNESSETH: That Trustor grants to Trustee in trust, with power of sale, that property in the city of Placentia, County of Orange, State of California, described as:

LOT 39, OF TRACT NO 8037, AS SHOWN ON A MAP RECORDED IN BOOK 323, PAGES 49 AND 50 OF MISCELLANEOUS MAPS, RECORDS OF ORANGE COUNTY, CALIFORNIA (Complete Legal attached as Exhibit "A") EXCEPT ALL OIL, PETROLEUM, NATURAL GAS, MINERAL RIGHTS AND OTHER HYDROCARBON SUBSTANCES LYING BELOW A DEPTH OF 500 FEET FROM THE SURFACE OF SAID LAND, WITHOUT ANY RIGHT OF ENTRY UPON THE SURFACE OF SAID LAND FOR THE PURPOSE OF EXPLORING FOR, EXTRACTING, MINING, BORING, REMOVING OR MARKETING SAID SUBSTANCES, AS RESERVED BY C.S. CHAPMAN AND OTHERS, IN THE DEED RECORDED IN BOOK 10519, PAGE 424, OFFICAL RECORDS.

together with the rents, issues and profits thereof, subject, however, to the right, power and authority hereinafter given to and conferred upon Beneficiary to collect and apply such rents, issues and profits for the purpose of securing (1) payment of the sum of \$250,000.00 with interest thereon according to the terms of a promissory note or notes of even date herewith made by Trustor, payable to order of Beneficiary, and extensions or renewals thereof, (2) the performance of each agreement of Trustor incorporated by reference or contained herein and (3) payment of additional sums and interest thereon which may hereafter be loaned to Trustor, or his successors or assigns, when evidenced by a promissory note or notes reciting that they are secured by this Deed of Trust.

To protect the security of this Deed of Trust, and with respect to the property above described, Trustor expressly makes each and all of the agreements, and adopts and agrees to perform and be bound by each and all of the terms and provisions set forth in subdivision A, and it is mutually agreed that each and all of the terms and provisions set forth in subdivision B of the fictitious deed of trust recorded in Orange County August 17, 1964, and in all other counties August 18, 1964, in the book and at the page of Official Records in the office of the county recorder of the county where said property is located, noted below opposite the name of such county, namely:

(CONTINUED ON NEXT PAGE)

1158 (1/94) Page 1 of 4



EXHIBIT

County	Benk	Page	County	DI	0									
Alameda	435	684		Hock	Page	County	Book	Page	Сошму	Book	Page	County	06	
Almag			imponal	1091	501	Merced	1547	538	San Beniso	271	383	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	Book	Page
Anusdar	1	250	fnya	1-17	50%	Moduc	184	851	Sin Benrardian			Siskiyou	468	181
	1434	348	Kem	3427	60	Monu	52	429		\$567	61	Sofanu	1105	182
Rette	1145	3	Kings	792	833	Montercy			San Francisco	A332	905	Sonons	1851	689
Calaverus	145	152	Lake	362	39		2194	538	San Josquia	2470	311	Stanisteus	1715	456
Cutusa	740	G17	Lassen	171		Napa	639	86	San Luis Obispo	1151	12	Sutter	572	
Cours Costs	3973	47			471	Nevada	305	320	San Maico	4078	420	l'channa		297
Def Mane			Los Angeles	T2055	899	Orange	5889	611	Santa Barbara	1878			401	289
	714	414	Madera	810	170	Placer	895	301	Santa Chra		869	Trinity	93	360
El Dorado	568	456	Marin	1508	339	Plans	151	ś		5336	01	Tulare	2294	275
tresms	4626	572	Mariposa	77	292			200	Sauta Cruz.	1431	494	Tuolume	135	47
Glenn	422	184	Mendoeing	579		Riverside	3005	\$23	Shasta	684	528	Ventura	2062	386
Headaolt	657	527			530	Sacraniento		62	Siema	39	335	Yola	653	
	221	321	San Diego Ser	ies 2	Buok IS	161. Page 183	887		Yuba	134	101	14041	13.3,5	245

(which provisions, identical in all counties, are printed on page 3 of this document) hereby are adopted and incorporated herein and made a part hereof as fully as though set furth herein at length; that he will observe and perform said provisions; and that the references to property, obligations, and parties in said provisions shall be construed to refer to the property, obligations, and parties set forth in this Deed of Trust.

In accordance with Section 2924b, Civil Code, request is hereby made that a copy of any Notice of Default and a copy of any Notice of Sale be mailed to Trustor at Trustor's address hereinbefore set forth, or if none shown, to Trustor at the property address.

NOTICE: A COPY OF ANY NOTICE OF DEFAULT AND OF ANY NOTICE OF SALE WILL BE SENT ONLY TO THE ADDRESS CONTAINED IN THIS RECORDED REQUEST. IF YOUR ADDRESS CHANGES, A NEW REQUEST MUST BE RECORDED.

Signature of Trustql(s)

Andrew Robert Harrod

Document Date: October 03, 2006

10/6/06 before me. personally appeared_ Bobert Howard

post-conally known to any (or proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence) to be the person(s) whose nume(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies) and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s) or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

WITNESS my I and ufficial sea

This area for official notarial scal.



Page 2

GOVERNMENT CODE 27361.7

DATE COMMISSION EXPIRES: 4-25 3009 COUNTY WHERE BOND IS FILED: GRANG COMMISSION NUMBER: 1582913 MANUFACTURER / VENDOR NUMBER: 774 PLACE OF EXECUTION: GLILLIATE DATE: 10-10-08 SIGNATURE: DATE: 10-10-08 I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY AND THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THAT THE ILLEGIBLE PORTION OF THIS DOCUMENT TO WHICH THIS STATEMENT IS ATTACHED READS AS FOLLOWS: PLACE OF EXECUTION: DATE:	DOCUMENT TO WHICH THIS STATEMENT IS ATTACHED READS AS
COUNTY WHERE BOND IS FILED: CRAIM COMMISSION NUMBER: 1582913 MANUFACTURER / VENDOR NUMBER: 774 PLACE OF EXECUTION: JULIULUS DATE: 10-10-06 SIGNATURE: DOUGLES I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY AND THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THAT THE ILLEGIBLE PORTION OF THIS DOCUMENT TO WHICH THIS STATEMENT IS ATTACHED READS AS FOLLOWS: PLACE OF EXECUTION: DATE:	
COUNTY WHERE BOND IS FILED: CRAIM COMMISSION NUMBER: 1582913 MANUFACTURER / VENDOR NUMBER: 774 PLACE OF EXECUTION: JULIULUS DATE: 10-10-06 SIGNATURE: DOUGLES I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY AND THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THAT THE ILLEGIBLE PORTION OF THIS DOCUMENT TO WHICH THIS STATEMENT IS ATTACHED READS AS FOLLOWS: PLACE OF EXECUTION: DATE:	DATE COMMISSION EXPIRES: 4-25-3009
MANUFACTURER / VENDOR NUMBER: 77 A PLACE OF EXECUTION: JULIUST DATE: 10-10-06 SIGNATURE: DALLY OF PERJURY AND THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THAT THE ILLEGIBLE PORTION OF THIS DOCUMENT TO WHICH THIS STATEMENT IS ATTACHED READS AS FOLLOWS: PLACE OF EXECUTION: DATE:	COUNTY WHERE BOND IS FILED: STARLE
PLACE OF EXECUTION: LULLUS DATE: 10-10-06 SIGNATURE: DOUGLASS DATE: 10-10-06 I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY AND THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THAT THE ILLEGIBLE PORTION OF THIS DOCUMENT TO WHICH THIS STATEMENT IS ATTACHED READS AS FOLLOWS: PLACE OF EXECUTION: DATE:	COMMISSION NUMBER: 1582913
SIGNATURE: DOUGLAND DATE: 10-10-06 SIGNATURE: DOUGLAND THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THAT THE ILLEGIBLE PORTION OF THIS DOCUMENT TO WHICH THIS STATEMENT IS ATTACHED READS AS FOLLOWS: PLACE OF EXECUTION: DATE:	MAINUFACTURER / VENDOR NUMBER: 774
I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY AND THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THAT THE ILLEGIBLE PORTION OF THIS DOCUMENT TO WHICH THIS STATEMENT IS ATTACHED READS AS FOLLOWS: PLACE OF EXECUTION:	PLACE OF EXECUTION: JUlius DATE: 10-10-04
WHICH THIS STATEMENT IS ATTACHED READS AS FOLLOWS: PLACE OF EXECUTION:	SIGNATURE: DONOLYON
WHICH THIS STATEMENT IS ATTACHED READS AS FOLLOWS: PLACE OF EXECUTION:	
and the second s	I CERTIFY UNDER PENALTY OF PERJURY AND THE LAWS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA THAT THE ILLEGIBLE PORTION OF THIS DOCUMENT TO WHICH THIS STATEMENT IS ATTACHED READS AS FOLLOWS:
and the second s	
and the second s	
and the second s	
and the second s	
and the second s	PLACE OF EXECUTION: DATE:
A Comment of the Comm	SIGNATURE:



Fullerton, CA 92835 (714) 526-5400 FAX: (714) 526-1744

Escrow No.: 54362-06

Reference:

408 Windflower Lane

Placentia, CA 92870

Close Date:

October 10, 2006

Page: 1

BORROWER CLOSING STATEMENT

Andrew Robert Harrod

408 Windflower Lane Placentia, CA 92870

BORROWER:

- - DEBITS - - - - CREDITS - -

Existing and New Encumbrances:

New Encumbrance

Robert M. Harrod

Georgia M. Harrod

\$735,000.00

Payoff(s):

Payoff of Existing Loan to:

Countrywide DEMANDS

Countrywide DEMANDS

To: 10/12/2006

Recording Fee

Reconveyance Fee

Pre-Payment Fee

Statement / Forwarding Fee

\$16,489.51 \$30.00

\$6.00

\$45.00

\$591,186.40

\$1,246.98

New Loan Charges:

unused loan amount

\$125,000.00

Disbursements Paid:

recording Fees to Ticor Title Insurance

notary to Debbie Purdy

\$10.00

\$20.00

Escrow Fees:

Escrow Fees

\$885.00

Check Herewith

\$81.11

Totals

\$735,000.00

\$735,000.00

ESCROW NO.: 54362-06

NOTE SECURED BY DEED OF TRUST

INSTALLMENT NOTE - INTEREST INCLUDED

\$735,000.00

Fullerton, CALIFORNIA

October 02, 2006

In installments and at the time hereinafter stated, for value received, I/We, promise to pay to

Robert M. Harrod and Georgia M. Harrod, Trustees of the Harrod Family Trust dated 2-17-95

or order, at, or at place designated by the holder(s) hereof, the principal sum of Seven Hundred Thirty Five Thousand Dollars and 00/100 Cents (\$735,000.00) with interest from October 10, 2006 on the amounts of principal remaining from time to time unpaid, until said principal sum is paid, at the rate of 5% per cent per annum. Principal and interest payable in monthly installments of \$3,544.15 or more, commencing on the 10th day of November, 2006 and continuing each and every month thereafter until October 10 2046 at which time the entire unpaid principal balance, together with interest due thereon, shall become all due and payable.

"In the event the property described herein, or any part hereof, or any interest therein, is sold, conveyed, alienated, assigned or otherwise transferred by the Trustor, or by the operation of law or otherwise, all obligations secured by this instrument, irrespective of the maturity dates expressed therein, at the option of the Beneficiary thereof, and without demand or notice shall immediately become due and payable, except as prohibited by California Civil Code Section 2924.6, or other applicable law."

Each payment shall be credited first on interest then due and the remainder on principal sum; and interest shall thereupon cease upon the amount so credited on the said principal. Should default be made in payment of any installment when due the whole sum of principal and interest shall become immediately due at the option of the holder of this note. Principal and interest payable in lawful money of the United States of America. Should suit be commenced to collect this note or any portion thereof, such sum as the Court may deem reasonable shall be added hereto as attorney's fees. This note is secured by a Deed of Trust to North County Services, Inc., a California Corporation as Trustee, affecting the property located at: 408 Windflower Lane, Placentia, CA 92870

Indrew Dohert Harrod

Time H

DO NOT DESTROY THIS NOTE: When paid, said original Note, together with the Deed of Trust securing same, must be surrendered to Trustee for cancellation and retention before reconveyance will be made.



Robert M. Harrod Georgii M. Harrod 522 Carnetion Drive Placentia, CA 92870

Date t October 11, 2006 Escroy No. : 5456-65 Loan No.:

Atm:

Borrover: Andrew Robert Harred and Party 1/10 Property: -400 Windflower Lane, Platentia, CA 92010

We am pleased to infam you that the above referenced exercise was closed on October 10, 2006, and we enclose the following for your recording

Comined Copy of the Final HUD/RESPA Soulement Statements.
Original Note and copy of Deed of Trust, Original Deed of Trust will be mailed to you.

If you have any questions or need anything further, please do not healtate to call.

Sincorely,

North Omage County Escrow Corporation

Dette Find

ddp

TRUST REC. TNUMBER: 103086 top Origina Octate Ending Cope. 1970 M. Brid Bird. Butta 110 Pullylor, CA 62836 (716) 624-6400 COMPANY OFFICE Rec. No.: 103086 0001 DATE Riocelved RT M. Harrod 10/05/2006 From Amount of Six Hundred Ten/Thousand Dollars and 00/100 Cents 610,000.00 [Cash [| X | Wire | | X | Money Order - Received Aller Hour! 1 Orall [| Cashlar Ohk l to shenod 1 Buyor 1 x 1 Other | 18eller ABA/CK/ PROPERTY ADDRESS: 408 Windflower Lane Received by Placpona, CA 92870 ODIGINAL CCOY

EXHIBIT